



AENSI Journals

Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture**ISSN 1816-9112**Journal home page: www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html

Modeling the Green Revolution on Rice Yield in Iran

¹Abbas Ali Abounoori, ²Saede Faridkiyan, ³Hadi Parhizi Gashti

¹The Member of Board of Academic of Economics and Accounting Faculty of Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Iran

²The Senior Expert of Economics, Iran

³The Senior Expert of Economics, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 December 2013

Received in revised form 20

February 2014

Accepted 25 February 2014

Available online 15 March 2014

Keywords:

Green Revolution, Productivity, High yielding rice, Cobb- Douglas Production Function

ABSTRACT

Present paper is about function of high-yielding rice production in Iran. So related data about production variables of high-yielding rice, mechanization ratio to total of cultivated area in the planting and harvesting process, amount of improved seeds, amount of irrigation and fertilizer, also amount of consumption poison of the country for years 1991-2008 are collected. So with considering country's data as time series with using of Cobb - Douglas production function the optimum pattern estimation is obtained. The results of the research show that changing in using of modern machinery and technology, improved seeds, irrigation, fertilizer, pesticides and poisons are effective in implementation of Green Revolution in Iran. Because as is identified in the estimated model with 1% increasing of improved seeds, the production of high yielding rice increased about 4.84 % also with 1% increasing of irrigation, the production of this type of rice increased 1.78%. Also with 1% increasing of mechanization level's ratio to the total cultivated areas in the planting and harvesting process, the production of this rice respectively increases about 2.13% and 1.85%. The results of the research show that the most elasticity is related to the variable of improved seed and the most negative effect is related to variable of pesticide that has been used more than requirement on crop. So according to research's purpose which is about identification of factors' impact such as mechanization, using of improved seeds, irrigation, fertilizer on implementation of Green Revolution in country, can say that estimation model led us to the purpose.

© 2014 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

To Cite This Article: Abbas Ali Abounoori, Saede Faridkiyan, Hadi Parhizi Gashti., Modeling the Green Revolution on Rice Yield in Iran. *J. Appl. Sci. & Agric.*, 9(2): 611-619, 2014

JEL Classification: Q_{15} , Q_{16}

INTRODUCTION

According to the current population of the world and consequently its increasing, if we had food production with traditional methods so agricultural lands could not provide nutritional need of this population from many years ago, then the theories of Robert Malthus, the classical economist, based on the limitation of natural resources and grow thing of agricultural products in ratio of arithmetic progression in comparative with the population grow thing occurs as geometric progression and finally we will have the descending efficiency in the production process. But with occurrence of Green Revolution which actually caused overcoming of wheat and rice problems in the decade of the '60s in Asia also has resolved problems in agriculture sector especially in the developing countries. The father of agricultural Green Revolution is Dr. Norman Borlaug who innovated the methods for seed improvement, using of the chemical fertilizer also irrigation that used in rice cultivation and other products widely and creates Green Revolution movement.

2. Theoretical Foundations:

The Green Revolution is as planning that has been started nearly since 1966, till with using of fertile seeds especially wheat and rice raises the level of agriculture in developing countries. Usually these various grains have short and tight legs and chemical fertilizer impact on its grow thing rapidly and are resistant against the agricultural pests. Farming of these seeds usually needs to use of pesticides, irrigation, chemical fertilizer and good methods of agriculture. (Jounior. 1975). The mean of Green Revolution is improvement of agricultural productions and its progression without needing to the fundamental changes; generally Green Revolution means public revolution in increasing of product amount in hectare also increasing of the total amount of all products

in very large areas of world's countries (Parsa and Osia, 1985). Therefore, the concept of Green Revolution is linked to the concept of productivity increasing.

The Green Revolution raised the production level also developed the agricultural kinds and causes the food healthy and finally causes more incoming for many farmers in developing countries (Benbalkacem, 2009). Up to now many countries used of this strategy to improve their agricultural products performance and through this way provide their growth and development path, but main countries which are created of breeding of rice's new seeds, cultivation and production are countries like Japan, India, China, Philippine and Taiwan (Danesh, 1972).

Although growing of world population and the subsequent increasing of demanding for food, only itself shows the necessity of Green Revolution, but other issues such as increasing of villagers migration to towns due to lacking of income security, difficulty of agricultural work especially about rice also changing the using of agricultural lands, climate changes and reducing of rural youth tendency about this matter causes more consideration on this issue.

3. Background of the research:

Few similar studies have been done about the way of using effective variables on Green Revolution like improved seeds, fertilizer, technologies and mechanization also increasing of productivity which are as follow:

Singh, R.D. and Varma, K.K and Singh, L.R 1972, in a research about 'studying on facilities to increase production and pattern determining for optimum utilization of resources in small farms', concluded that cultivation of high-yielding varieties of wheat and rice in India causes reduction of unemployment in 1970.

Evenson, Robert E & McKinsey, Jr (1999), in a research with this title 'Technology and climate interaction effects on the Green Revolution in India' provided a model for a Green Revolution in India during 1970 to 1988 which on it have been combined interrelationships of climate and technology in their model.

Jonna P., Estudillo, Kejiro, Otsuka (2002), in a research with studying on three decades of Green Revolution in Philippine, tried to investigate good and modern seeds varieties on productivity increasing, stability and efficiency changes of total factors in different ecosystems of Philippine during years 1970 to 1977.

Brazdik, Frantisek (2006) in their research with this title "Measuring technical efficiency and affecting factors on rice's fields in West Java island" with using of Data envelopment analysis method, measure technical efficiency then with Stochastic frontier analysis have been investigated affecting factors on technical efficiency in the area in West Java of Indonesia during end of years 1970 and early years 1980.

Nakano, Yuko and Others (2011), in a research with this title 'Possibility of Green Revolution in irrigation plans in countries of Sub-Saharan Africa, concluded that sufficient irrigation, chemical fertilizer and labor are as fundamental basics of high productivity in countries such as Uganda, Mozambique, Burkina Faso, Mali, Niger and Senegal that information for Uganda and Mozambique are collected in year 2007 and for other countries during years 2006 and 2007.

Mohammad Reza Arslanbod and *et al* (2008), in a research 'Statistical and econometric analysis of mechanization role in agricultural production of Azerbaijan-e-Gharbi province', studied on mechanization role in agricultural production in this province with using of Cobb-Douglas Production function during years 1986 to 2006.

So the purpose of this paper is studying on the impacts of effective fundamental variables on rice Green Revolution in Iran.

Indeed, present paper tried to measure changing in using of Green Revolution's fundamental variables like modern machinery and technology, improved seeds irrigation and fertilizer on the increasing of rice productivity in hectare and occurrence of this revolution in Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

According to the similar done researches in this field also based on definitions of Green Revolution which is defined as using of high yielding seed, fertilizer and farm machinery in cultivation of a crop. These three variables are entered as independent variables in the model. Since the desired product of this research means rice is very much dependent to water then irrigation was regarded as one of the effective variables. On the other hand because if it faced with pest vanished completely or its performance is reduced greatly, although in few similar studies, the pest variable has not been considered, but this variable is entered as one of the independent variables into the model. In fact, it can say that one of the aspects which indicate that this paper is new is because of entering of pest variable. But another aspect of this paper is lack of studying on Green Revolution as method of this paper's researchers. Thus the variable of improved seeds mechanization, irrigation, fertilizers and pest will be entered in estimation model as effective variables on the implementation of rice's Green Revolution in Iran. In economic analysis of agricultural production used of Cobb-Douglas Function in most cases. One of the major advantages of using of this function is direct calculation of production elasticity about each of the used inputs in the production, so in this paper is used of Cobb-Douglas Function. Following some definitions of productivity will be offered.

Productivity and efficiency increasing is as major issues in developing countries, in other words efficient using of agricultural resources should be in priority and the purposes of agriculture producers be whatever, their important aim is achieving to maximum performance (Soltani and Najafi, 1994). Environmental Protection Agency defined productivity as degree and intensity effective using of each of the production factors. But history of economic theory of productivity measurement should be considered in the researches of Tinbergen (1942) and Solow (1957). According to their paper, productivity measurement is listed in the field of production function and is connected to economical growth theory (Khavari nezhad, 2006). The productivity of inputs efficiency obtained from the efficiency of outputs, actually can say that productivity or each enterprise's technical efficiency represents ability of it in maximizing the production according to certain input. Because as mentioned in OECD Manual, efficiency is calculated by the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{Quantity index of gross output}}{\text{Quantity index of input}} \quad (1)$$

So in this paper, technical efficiency of agricultural will be measured due to implementation the policies of Green Revolution which is calculated with using of Formula (1) and because in many countries also Iran land is a limiting factor and mainly the farmers tended to increase their production in one cultivable land, land productivity is suitable measurement for determining agricultural technical level. So amount of physical product is considered rice with using of improved seed also the amount of variable input is rice's area under cultivation. In this paper is used of function (2) in order to investigate effects of Green Revolution policy on the performance of agricultural crops.

$$Y = A^\alpha H^\beta I^\gamma FM^\theta P^\lambda \quad (2)$$

In this model Y is dependent variable and indicated production of high-yielding rice in one hectare or performance of high-yielding rice and its unit is Kg.

A shows technology that according to ratio of cultivated area which on it is performed mechanization operations in the planting and harvesting process for high-yielding rice to the total cultivated area of this crop is obtained.

H indicates improved seed and its unit is kg.

I shows irrigation, because irrigation statistics was not directly available in statistics letters so it obtained through dividing of improved seed's irrigation cost on water pricing for this type of seed and its unit is cubic meters.

FM indicates consumption fertilizer which is combination of chemical fertilizers and animal manure and its unit is kg.

P shows using amount of poisons which is combination of herbicides, insecticides and fungicides and its unit is kg.

Practically it is thought that Cobb–Douglas production function is having error terms as a multiplication that in logarithmic transformation is as plural form, and thus makes it possible to direct estimation. Generally, it is important to recognize that error term is not too important that after doing necessary changes in the model, added to the end of it for simplicity, while it is an inseparable part of model exponential (Arab mazar, 1991). For estimating we do the logarithm from production function and the error term entered in the model which equation 3 is obtained.

$$\ln Y = \alpha \ln A + \beta \ln H + \gamma \ln I + \theta \ln FM + \lambda \ln P + \sum e_i \quad (3)$$

In this paper data are ordered as frequency which leading to estimations like Pooled Least Square (PLS) with using of Eviews software, so related statistics and information about independent variables also dependent variable in this model for provinces of Khuzestan, Fars, Gilan, Mazandaran and Golestan that their total production about 90 to 95% is from production of the whole country. These information are collected through statistics, production cost system of agriculture department, but because do not obtain significant results through this estimating method, as can be seen in table 2, so data were arranged based on Balanced Panel which leading to estimating as Panel Least square. In this way, because of non-consecutive yielding rice cultivation in the Fars province, so this province was removed from other provinces then estimations were performed. But as can be seen in table 3, do not obtain significant results through this estimating method, so the researchers decide to estimate the model with country data and not as provincial data as method of time series.

Since 1991 was as first year which high-yielding rice has been cultivated in Iran and 2008 is as last year that statistics for this type of rice is available, so desired information are collected for mentioned period during years 1991 to 2008. Then the static of variables has been checked which found that all variables are I (0). So no need to

difference of the variables. Also model does not have false regression. Because if time series be non-static the false regression will occur that high R^2 shows that is because of time variable and is not because of true real relationship between the variables. (Abrishami, 1999). The results of studying on the variables' static with using of LLC method which are summarized in the table 1.

Table 1: Studying on reliability of related variables from this paper.

Variable Name	Type of Reliability Test	The Statistic	Prob	Test Result
Log Y	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-6.49	0.0000	Steady Level
log A_1	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-4.73	0.0000	Steady Level
log A_2	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-4.53	0.0000	Steady Level
Log H	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-5.64	0.0000	Steady Level
Log I	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-4.26	0.0000	Steady Level
Log FM	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-5.18	0.0000	Steady Level
Log P	Levin , Lin & Chu t	-6.17	0.0000	Steady Level

Source: research results

Table 2: Arrangement of the data as frequency, the dependent variable of high-yielding rice production Pooled Least Square.

Independent Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
C	49.34 (0.36)	1.57 (0.16)	8.01 (1.42)	15.09 (4.21)	-8.85 (-2.48)	-10.08 (-2.81)		-13.99 (-2.51)	15.24 (2.46)
Log (A)	-3.01 (-2.25)	3.89 (3.38)	0.35 (0.47)	0.41 (0.65)	-4.46 (-2.60)	-6.27 (-4.11)		-1.19 (-3.20)	1.08 (0.62)
Log(H)	5.37 (1.39)	0.86 (0.54)	-1.80 (-1.90)	-2.47 (-3.96)			-0.26 (-1.26)	3.58 (3.49)	-2.41 (-1.84)
Log(I)	-0.64 (-0.73)	1.29 (2.94)	0.50 (2.18)	0.65 (3.39)	0.57 (2.76)	0.32 (1.99)	0.23 (1.79)	0.20 (0.35)	0.74 (2.18)
Log(FM)	-0.22 (-2.63)	1.70 (2.80)	0.68 (1.92)	0.75 (1.89)	2.85 (5.36)	2.61 (4.53)	0.78 (3.56)	-0.07 (-3.41)	0.69 (0.90)
Log(P)	-3.94 (-2.63)	-0.17 (-0.60)	-0.03 (-0.21)		-0.15 (-0.99)	-0.11 (-0.69)	0.11 (0.98)	-1.92 (-2.22)	-0.07 (-0.36)
Log(Y(-1))		0.17 (0.61)	0.14 (1.24)				0.46 (6.59)		
Log(FM)*Log(P)				-0.01 (-0.50)					
Log(A)*Log(H)*Log(FM)					0.17 (3.79)	0.19 (4.35)			
Log(A1(-1))							2.48 (1.76)		
Log(A2)							13.28 (4.57)		
AR(1)		0.36 (1.97)	0.08 (0.65)					0.96 (54.31)	
AR(2)	0.50 (2.41)			-0.19 (-2.11)	-0.15 (-1.72)				-0.14 (-0.84)
AR(3)						0.02 (0.27)			
AR(4)	0.53 (2.26)	-0.51 (-3.02)					-0.45 (-5.54)		
T*			0.33 (2.22)				-0.10 (-2.74)		0.13 (0.44)
D**			5.32 (7.83)	4.68 (13.05)	4.73 (12.75)	4.27 (9.89)			5.29 (3.75)
R^2	0.52	0.74	0.90	0.90	0.89	0.84	0.74	0.60	0.89
$\bar{R}^2$	0.34	0.59	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.82	0.69	0.52	0.86
D.W	1.09	2.58	2.29	1.75	1.52	1.47	2.49	1.98	1.77
F-statistic	2.94	5.05	27.30	35.21	34.43	40.81		8.64	10.66

Source: research results

*T represents the variable of time trend

**D indicates the dummy variable

It should be mentioned that in order to separate the importance of agricultural mechanization in the process of planting and harvesting, mechanization variable is calculated separately in some estimations. So in estimations is used of equation 3 and in regression 7 is used of equation 4. In equation 4 A_1 indicates ratio of cultivated area of this crop which in it mechanization operations is done in planting stage and A_2 shows this relation in harvesting stage.

$$\ln Y = \alpha_1 \ln A_1 + \alpha_2 \ln A_2 + \beta \ln H + \gamma \ln I + \theta \ln FM + \lambda \ln P + \sum e_i \quad (4)$$

After function estimating, is discussed on model's coefficients and determination of variables which have most and least impact on production of high-yielding rice. Because production in one hectare that is actually performance or productivity of rice than to its cultivated area, has been considered during the investigation. So obtained coefficients in model's estimating in this paper indicated the amount of affecting on performance of high-yielding rice. Since in many countries as well as Iran land is a limiting factor and mainly farmers tend to increase their products from an arable land, so land productivity is a suitable measurement for determining of technical level of agricultural. The results of performed estimations are summarized in tables 2, 3 and 4. Columns show some of the best estimated regressions and in rows there are independent variables also the *t*-statistic for each variable is located below the value of each coefficients.

Table 3: Arrangement of the data as Balanced Panel 'dependent variable of high yielding rice' Panel Least Square.

Independent Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
C	33.48 (5.22)		12.53 (3.95)		16.99 (6.45)	43.55 (4.65)		42.03 (5.09)	
Log (A)	-30.77 (-4.60)	45.64 (6.74)							
Log(H)	-4.02 (-4.25)	-0.10 (-0.25)	-2.10 (-4.15)	0.71 (2.36)	-2.10 (-4.17)	-5.25 (-3.66)	0.52 (0.67)	-5.02 (-3.94)	-2.13 (-1.71)
Log(I)	0.11 (0.42)	0.61 (8.89)	0.38 (2.38)	0.46 (2.59)	0.34 (2.16)	-0.28 (-0.77)	0.70 (1.96)	-0.13 (-0.43)	0.38 (0.61)
Log(FM)	-0.38 (-2.46)	0.92 (2.58)	0.72 (2.52)	0.40 (1.88)		-0.86 (-2.63)	0.84 (1.08)	-0.69 (-2.85)	3.78 (2.90)
Log(P)	-0.54 (-1.69)	0.30 (1.22)	-0.04 (-0.30)	0.66 (2.66)	-1.34 (-2.14)	-0.71 (-2.02)	-0.10 (-0.22)	-0.80 (-2.28)	
Log(A)*Log(H)*Log(FM)		-1.24 (-4.57)							
Log(A1(-1))			2.94 (1.33)						
Log(A1)						-20.48 (-8.44)		-20.61 (-8.53)	-4.06 (-0.43)
Log(A2)			-2.36 (-0.77)	-1.77 (-0.26)	-8.41 (-1.23)	-16.86 (-1.85)	47.18 (3.33)	-18.51 (-2.17)	-19.33 (-1.30)
Log(A1(-1))*Log(A2)				16.28 (0.67)	30.44 (1.01)				
Log(FM)*Log(P)					0.24 (2.46)				-0.17 (-1.38)
Log(A1)*log(A2)							-254.33 (-4.09)		
AR(2)				0.56 (5.15)					
AR(3)									0.23 (1.40)
AR(4)	0.28 (3.13)		-0.27 (-3.83)		-0.26 (-3.88)	0.32 (4.66)	0.32 (4.19)	0.32 (4.92)	
T*									
D**		4.42 (18.91)		5.27 (12.83)					
R^2	0.64	0.86	0.73	0.85	0.73	0.59	0.38	0.62	0.03
$\bar{R}^2$	0.59	0.85	0.68	0.83	0.68	0.53	0.30	0.55	-0.08
D.W	1.85	1.35	1.66	1.46	1.57	2.06	1.37	1.95	1.48
F-statistic	13.52		15.90		15.82	10.51			

Source: research results

*T represents the variable of time trend

**D indicates the dummy variable

In first regression, improved seeds coefficients, irrigation and fertilizer are not significant and mechanization coefficient is negative which cannot be justified and Durbin –Watson statistic also shows that there is autocorrelation in equation. So this model is not acceptable. In the second regression, variables such as improved seeds, poison and production are not significant with alag period and Durbin –Watson statistic is high, so this regression is not acceptable. In the third regression, the variables of mechanization, poison and production are not significant. In fourth regression, interaction impact of fertilizers and pesticides is entered in model which is not significant.

Table 4: Arrangement of the data as Time series 'independent variable of production of high yielding rice'

Independent Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
C	-43.03 (-1.89)	-0.17 (-0.01)	24.67 (1.61)	-17.28 (-2.85)	-7.30 (-0.91)		32.52 (1.27)	1.33 (0.31)
Log (A)	-3.58 (-0.72)	3.53 (2.06)			0.41 (0.39)			
Log(H)	8.36 (2.24)	4.36 (2.99)	3.90 (1.35)	4.62 (4.16)	3.61 (1.96)	13.53 (5.01)	9.78 (5.51)	10.74 (16.18)
Log(I)	2.40 (2.47)	1.90 (3.30)	1.71 (3.10)	1.12 (2.60)	1.26 (2.31)	3.44 (2.84)	-1.90 (-3.24)	1.19 (12.23)
Log(FM)	1.43 (1.05)	0.18 (0.20)	-1.79 (-3.34)	1.48 (2.85)	-0.08 (-0.22)	-3.43 (-2.00)	-5.60 (-2.42)	-2.82 (-16.35)
Log(P)	0.03 (0.01)	-1.50 (-0.95)	-3.84 (-2.21)		0.48 (1.05)	159.08 (1.19)	-2.23 (-2.11)	-2.32 (-11.03)
Log(A1(-1))			-2.08 (-1.03)	1.58 (3.52)				
Log(A1)						2.33 (0.82)	5.62 (2.42)	2.02 (4.97)
Log(A2)			1.15 (1.43)	0.30 (1.12)		0.84 (0.68)	2.71 (1.98)	1.68 (49.34)
Du*Log(P)				-0.16 (-2.26)				
AR(1)	0.58 (2.46)	0.62 (4.17)						0.22 (26.90)
AR(2)							0.26 (2.55)	
AR(3)					-0.08 (-1.53)	-0.39 (-1.91)		
AR(4)				-0.40 (-5.42)				
MA(1)						-0.99 (-32892)		
MA(2)			-0.89 (-6.15)					
MA(3)	-0.99 (-7.52)			-0.99 (-61.43)	-0.99 (-90.48)			
MA(4)		-0.98 (-47.23)	0.96 (62.60)					
T*			-0.59 (-2.92)			-0.25 (-1.77)	-0.72 (-2.29)	-0.48 (-28.43)
R^2	0.87	0.95	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.96	0.29	0.81
$\bar{R}^2$	0.77	0.92	0.94	0.98	0.98	0.92	-0.51	0.60
D.W	1.78	2.22	2.12	2.47	2.53	2.39	1.49	2.03
F-statistic	9.00	27.66	33.77	86.79	133.13			

Source: research results

*T represents the variable of time trend

**D indicates the dummy variable

In addition, mechanization variable is not significant also mechanization is negative also is not justified. In the fifth regression, interactions of three variables such as mechanization, improved seeds and fertilizer which are three main factors of Green Revolution are entered into the model, although significant, but mechanization variable is negative and significant is not justified and poison variable is not significant. The fifth regression is estimated as fourth regression just by changing the degree of auto correlation (AR (3)), that because poison is not significant, also because mechanization coefficient is unjustifiable '-6.27' does not accepted. In the seventh regression intercept was omitted till could obtain a better model. Also for estimating is used of equation 4, and since using of planting tools and machinery initially perform in autumn or winter (Okhovat, 1997) so mechanization is entered into the model with a lag period in planting stage 'A1'. But still the coefficients of improved seed and poison are not significant. In the eighth and ninth regression is used of TsIs Pooled that instrumental variables are entered into the model. But in the eighth regression, mechanization which is negative is not justified and the coefficient variable of irrigation is not significant. Also in the ninth regression, variables coefficients of mechanization, fertilizer and poison are not significant; also the variable of improved seed is not justified.

As can be seen, did not come to a reliable conclusion with arranging data as frequency and estimation as PLS. So we will continue the model's estimation with arranging data as Balanced Panel which will lead to estimation as Panel Least Square. In this way, Fars province due to non-continuous using of improved seed will be deleted from the model. In the following, the best obtained results with using this method are given in the table 3. In the first regression, table 3 the coefficient of improved seed is negative and significant which is not justified also

irrigation coefficient is not significant. In the second regression, the intercept was removed and the interaction effect of mechanization, improved seeds and fertilizer which are 3 main factors of Green Revolution and also a dummy variable for increasing about production during years 1993 to 1996 are entered into the model. Being significant and negative of interaction of Green Revolution's three basic factors, large coefficient of mechanization and lack of being significant of improved seeds and fertilizer all of them cause rejection of this model. In the third regression, mechanization was divided in the planting and harvesting time and since using of planting tools and machinery initially performs in autumn or winter (Okhovat, 1997), so mechanization is entered into the model with a lag period in planting stage. But again the coefficients of mechanization were not significant in planting and harvesting stages and also pesticide. In the fourth regression, the intercept was omitted, maybe obtain the better pattern and the interaction effect was entered into the model in planting and harvesting stages as one of the variables. In the fifth regression, in addition to the interaction effects of these two variables the interaction effect of fertilizer and pesticide also was entered to the model, but again non-significant of some variables cause rejection of these two regressions. In the sixth and seventh regression, is used of TSLS method. In the sixth regression, the irrigation coefficient was not significant and mechanization coefficients were high. In the seventh regression, also the interaction effect of mechanization was entered in to the pattern in planting and harvesting stage, but again because of non-significant of some coefficients, this regression was not accepted. In the eighth and ninth regression was used of generalized method of moments (GMM). In the eighth regression, the irrigation variable coefficient was not significant and negativity of improved seeds and mechanization's coefficients were unjustifiable.

In the ninth regression, the intercept was omitted from the pattern and the interaction effect of fertilizer and pesticide was entered into the model. But also this model was not accepted because of non-significant of some coefficients such as mechanization in planting and harvesting stages, irrigation, unjustifiably of some variables like improved seed also low statistic of R^2 . Therefore, with existence of estimation of many models like Fixed Effect, Random Effect and SUR, with using of frequency and Balanced Panel data did not get a reliable estimation. So in the following, the data ordering is as frequency and estimation will be examined as Time series that is used of Iran's data as one cross and the results of best obtained estimations with using of this way has been shown in table 4.

In the first regression, the coefficients of mechanization and pesticide were not significant. In the second regression, the coefficients of fertilizer and pesticide were not significant too. In the third regression, the variable of time trend (T) was entered into the pattern and mechanization was separated in planting and harvesting stage. As mentioned previously, because using of instrument and machineries of cultivation initially perform in autumn or winter (Okhovat, 1997), mechanization was entered into the pattern with a lag in planting stage (A1). In this pattern, the coefficients of mechanization in planting and harvesting stage and improved seeds were not significant. In the fourth regression, the dummy variable creates through multiplication of pesticide's independent variable in dummy variable ($Du * \log(P)$) and it was because of sudden volatility in consuming pesticide. But in this regression the mechanization coefficient was not significant in harvesting stage, so this estimation was not accepted, too. In the fifth and sixth regression, was used of TSLS method. The fifth regression was not accepted because of non-significant of mechanization, fertilizer and pesticide coefficients. In the sixth regression, the intercept was omitted and mechanization was separated in planting and harvesting stage also the variable of time trend entered into the model, but again because of non-significant of some coefficients, this regression was not accepted. In the seventh and eighth regression was used of GMM method. In the seventh regression, negativity and significant of irrigation coefficient was unjustifiable and in eight, the mechanization was separated in planting and harvesting stage and the variable of time trend was entered into the model. In this regression, all coefficients were significant and justifiable. The mechanization coefficients were positive and significant in planting and harvesting stage that indicates using of agricultural machineries which are just for rice causes increasing of productivity of this crop. The coefficient of the improved seed (4.84) indicates that by increasing of 1% of this variable with considering that all conditions are as stability mood, production of this type of rice increases to 4.84%.

Irrigation coefficient which is positive and significant shows positive effect of this variable on the production and performance of high-yielding rice that for every 1% increasing of irrigation and with no changing for other variables and conditions so production of this type of rice increases to 1.78%. There is an article similar to this result with title of "Views on food production" by Kunio Tsubota. He believes that for moving toward Green Revolution, relatively small increasing of land which irrigate is as main variable for occurrence of new Green Revolution in Africa.

Moreover as another similarity, according to the article "Lessons from Three Decades of Green Revolution in the Philippines" by Jonna P. Estudillo and Keijiro Otsuka, (2002), The contribution of modern varieties (MVs) of rice to yield cum irrigation to total factor productivity (TFP) growth is about 50 percent in Central Luzon in Philippines.

On the other hand, for planting some crops like rice farmers use of fertilizer in order to increase productivity and performance also they use of pesticide in order to prevent pest that cause reduction in product performance

and losing it. But in this paper coefficients of fertilizer and pesticide are negative which show consumption of these two variables is very high in Iran and using of these two chemical variables not only increases the product performance but also reduces it. The most negative effect is for fertilizer variable and shows that one percent increasing in using of this variable, while only the fertilizer variable change and other variables also conditions are fixed, cause to reduction in productivity about 2.49 %. In this estimation, $R^2 = 80\%$ $\bar{R}^2 = 57\%$ indicate that 80% of dependent variable's changes were justified by independent variables. And the statistics of Durbin-Watson which is 1.83 indicates that regression is not autocorrelation because placed in non-autocorrelation district.

5. Conclusion:

Since the estimated model is as logarithmic, so the coefficients indicate the elasticity. In the final estimated pattern with time series method, the most positive effect related to improved seed and shows with 1% changing of improved seed the production of high yielding rice will increase up to 4.84 %. So as expected, the improved seed has positive effect on production of high yielding rice. On the other hand, with increasing of 1% irrigation the production of this kind of rice increases about 1.78% that for all mentioned variables, the assumption of stability of other conditions should be considered. With 1% increasing ratio of mechanization level to total cultivated area in planting and harvesting stage and with non-changing of other variables and conditions, production of this kind of rice increases respectively about 2.13 % & 1.85 % that indicates using of special agricultural machineries for rice caused increasing the productivity of this product in planting and harvesting stage. As the results indicate the most elasticity is related to improved seed and then mechanization operation is in planting process, this result is similar to Arslanbod *et al*'s paper. (2008), in their paper seed 'wheat' has the highest elasticity and machineries have second place.

In this paper the most negative effect related to the pesticide variable that was used more than need. 1% increasing in consumption of this variable if only the pesticide changes and other variables and conditions be fixed caused decreasing of productivity about 4.31% and 1% changing in the fertilizer consumption with this assumption that other conditions are stable, caused changing up to 2.49 % for producing this crop which indicates the consumption of these two variables is very high in Iran and consumption of these two chemical variable, not only caused any increasing of productivity but also has reduced it. So according to the purpose of this paper, means studying on the effect of basic variables of Green Revolution like mechanization, improved seed, irrigation, fertilizer and pesticide in implementation of Green Revolution about rice production in Iran, it should be mentioned that obtained results are as that our purposes were.

According to the obtained results, although changing in applying pesticides chemical fertilizer, machineries and modern technology are effective in implementation of Green Revolution, also the amount and manner of applying of these variables are very important. So may be proposed, reasonable increasing of area under cultivation of high-yielding crops is with exact and scientific studies.

Finally, we could say that whatever the Norman Borlaug planned nearly 50 years ago and tried for its achievement, means increasing of product and productivity which is now as an essential requirement of Iran, because increasing of productivity finally will lead to self-sufficiency that this matter decreases importing of consumer goods and increasing of investment potential in other fields, but before occurrence of Green Revolution should provide infrastructures in the field of industry, education, planning and management. Because it goes so fast that could not stop it at the time of occurrence or do anything in that time.

REFERENCES

- Arsalanbod, M., *et al.*, 2008. Statistical and Econometric Analysis of the role of Mechanization in Agricultural Production of Azarbaijan-e-Gharbi province. In: Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, 5th National Congress of Agricultural Machinery and Mechanization Engineering. Mashhad, 28-29 August 2008. Ferdowsi University of Mashhad: Faculty of Agriculture.
- Bakhshudeh, M. and A. Akbari, 2003. Agricultural Economics, 2nd ed. Kerman: Shahid Bahonar University.
- Baltagi, H.B., 2005. Economic Analysis of Panel Data, 3rd ed. England: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Benbelkacem, A., 2009. A Stakeholder Discussion and Analysis of Plant Breeding and Related Biotechnology Capacity Assessment in Western Asia and North Africa. [Online] Available at: < km.fao.org/-gipb/images/pdf_files/WANA_RegionalAnalysis_DraftReport_fr.pdf > [Accessed 27 October 2011].
- Borlaug, N.E., 2000. The Green Revolution Revisited and The Road Ahead. In: Special 30th Anniversary Lecture, Rome. Available at: <http://www.nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/peace/laureates/1970/borlaug-lecture.pdf> [Accessed 1 May 2010].
- Brazdik, F., 2006. Non-parametric analysis of technical efficiency, Factors affecting efficiency of west Javarice farms, Charles University, Center, Economics Institute [online] Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1148203 >.[Accessed 20 August 2011].

Danesh, M., 1972. Green Revolution or Advances in Agricultural Technology in the World. Tehran: Central Bank of Iran, Office of Economic Review.

Estudillo, J.P., K. Otsuka, 2002. Lessons from Three Decades of Green Revolution in the Philippines, The Developing Economies, [online] Available at: <<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1746-1049.2006.00010.x/abstract>> [Accessed 12 June 2011].

Gujarati, D.N., 1995. Basic Econometrics. Volume II. Translated from English by H. Abrishami. Tehran: Tehran University.

Jounior, A.A., 1975. The Green Revolution is Alive, Translated from English by (Anon). Tehran: Agricultural Scientific Information and Document Center.

Khavarinezhad, A., 2006. Iran's Economic Efficiency Indicators. Iranian Journal of Economic Accounts, 1: 24-39.

Mayes, D.G., 1981. Applications of Econometrics. Translated from English by A. Arabmazar. Tehran: Shahid Beheshti University.

McKinsey, Jr, J.W. and R.E. Evenson, 1999. Technology-Climate Interactions in the Green Revolution in India, economic growth center, Yale University, [online] Available at: <http://www.econ.yale.edu/growth_pdf/cdp805.pdf> [Accessed 29 June 2011].

Ministry of Agriculture, 1992-2008. Agriculture Marnamh, Tehran: Department of Planning, Economic and International.

Ministry of Agriculture, 1992-2008. The Cost of Agricultural Production System, Tehran: Department of Planning, Economic and International.

Nakano, Y., *et al.*, 2011. The Possibility of a Rice Green Revolution in Large-scale Irrigation Schemes in Sub-Saharan Africa, The World Bank, Development Research Group. [online] Available at: <<http://water.worldbank.org/publications/possibility-rice-green-revolution-large-scale-irrigation-schemes-sub-saharan-africa>>> [Accessed 11 July 2011].

OECD, 2001. Measuring Productivity, Measurement of aggregate and Industry-Level Productivity Growth. [Online] Available at: <<http://www.oecd.org/std/productivity-stats/2352458.pdf>> [Accessed 18 June 2013]

Okhvat, S.M. and D. Vakili, 1997. Rice (Planting, Maintenance, Harvesting). Tehran: Farabi.

Parsa, M. and R. Osia, 1985. Green Revolution and Agricultural Development, Tehran: Promoting Agriculture Organization.

Singh, R.D., K.K. Varma and L.R. Singh, 1972. Production Possibilities and Resource Use Pattern on Small Farm: A Comparative Study in Three Regions of Uttar Pradesh; Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 27(4): 126-136.

Soltani, gh. and B. Najafi, 1994. Agricultural Econommics, 2nd ed. Tehran: Center for Academic Publication.

Tsubota, K., Views On Food Production: Towards a New Green Revolution. 13th Congress, Wageningen, the Netherlands. FAO, Rome, Italy. 2002. [Accessed 11 february 2013] [Online] Available at: <<http://purl.umn.edu/6987>>

<http://www.epa.gov>