



AENSI Journals

Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture

ISSN 1816-9112

Journal home page: www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html



## Application of the Life Cycle Assessment methodology to Wheat production in north of Iran

<sup>1</sup>Ebrahim Azarpour, <sup>2</sup>Maral Moraditochae, <sup>3</sup>Hamid Reza Bozorgi

<sup>1</sup>Young Researchers and Elite Club, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture, College of Agricultural Science, Takestan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Takestan, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Young Researchers and Elite Club, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 January, 2014

Received in Revised form 16 April, 2014

Accepted 25 April 2014

Available Online 5 May, 2014

Keywords:

Wheat, Green house gases, watered farming, Rain fed farming.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The suitability of the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology to analyze the environmental impact of agricultural production is investigated. **Objective:** This study was conducted to assess the impact of wheat production on environment under rain fed and watered farming systems in north of Iran. Life cycle assessment (LCA) was used as a methodology to assess all environmental impacts of wheat production through accounting and appraising the resource consumption and emissions. Data were collected from 72 farms by used a face to face questionnaire method during 2011 year in Guilan province. **Results:** In rain fed farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were calculated to be 440.4 kgCO<sub>2</sub>eqha<sup>-1</sup> calculated. In watered farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were calculated to be 570.7 kgCO<sub>2</sub>eqha<sup>-1</sup>. **Conclusion:** Life cycle assessment (LCA) is defined as the compilation and evaluation of the inputs, outputs and potential environmental impacts of a product system throughout its life cycle. Thus, LCA is a tool for the analysis of the environmental burden of products at all stages in their life cycle.

© 2014 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

**To Cite This Article:** Ebrahim Azarpour, Maral Moraditochae, Hamid Reza Bozorgi., Application of the Life Cycle Assessment methodology to Wheat production in north of Iran. *J. Appl. Sci. & Agric.*, 9(4): 1494-1497, 2014

## INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is among the oldest and most extensively grown of all crops. It is a main cereal cultivated throughout the world along with rice, barley, maize, rye, sorghum, oats and millet. Nowadays, wheat cultivars have been developed for different qualities in accordance with the development of genetic recombination (Hung *et al.*, 2008). Wheat is grown under irrigated as well as rain-fed conditions worldwide. Under rain-fed conditions the developing grains are frequently exposed to mild to severe stress at different stages of grain development (Singh *et al.*, 2008).

The excessive use of energy in developed and developing countries creates environmental, commercial, technical, and even social problems, which requires in depth investigation in order to mitigate ensuing negative impacts. Analyzing relevant information is necessary to reduce energy consumption and its environmental impacts. High available energy along with reducing the known energy resources is the key factors to develop the philosophy of optimum energy consumption. Optimum use of energy helps to achieve increased production and contributes to the economy, profitability and competitiveness of agricultural sustainability of rural communities (Singh *et al.*, 2004). Agriculture is one of the most important productive sectors, which consumes and supplies energy in the form of bioenergy (Kizilaslan, 2009).

Sustainability and as a specific aspect of it sustainable energy supply from renewable resources will be one of the top issues of society and of environmental policies in the future. Agriculture is a key driving force for renewable energy, because it is the sector which not only consumes, but also has the future potential to produce large amounts of renewable energy (Pickel *et al.*, 2012). The call to sustain our welfare is neither new, nor an invention by the economic system. The word "sustainable" is used very often and actually is a term which deeply is anchored in the human history. Life cycle management (LCM) is a powerful tool to assess sustainability in this sector and to make it operational, an easy access to high quality environmental, social and economical data through the overall life cycle of products is of great importance. To manage and efficiently steer the work in the different parts of the life cycle, different tools has to be applied such as environmental management systems, procedures for approval of chemicals, product safety, supplier evaluations, energy or water saving programs, etc (Riise and Palsson, 2011). One of the most important issues in recent century is he


**Corresponding Author:** Maral Moraditochae, Department of Agriculture, College of Agricultural Science, Takestan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Takestan, Iran.  
E-mail: Maral\_Moraditochae@yahoo.com

global warming and green house gas emission is the main factor of this change in weather conditions. There is scientific consensus that global warming poses one of the major environmental challenges in the future. While the bulk of the so called green house gases (GHG) originate from fossil fuel consumption (Pathak and Wassmann, 2007). Green house gas (GHG) emissions from agriculture account for 10 to 12% of all manmade GHG emissions and are the main source of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O (60%) and CH<sub>4</sub> (50%) (Browne *et al.*, 2011). Production, formulation, storage, distribution of the inputs and application with machinery lead to combustion of fossil fuel and use of energy from alternate sources, which also emits CO<sub>2</sub> and other green house gases in to the atmosphere. Thus, an understanding of the emissions expressed in kilograms of carbon equivalent (kg CE) for different tillage operations, fertilizers and pesticides use, supplemental irrigation practices, harvesting and residue management is essential to identifying C-efficient alternatives such as biofuels and renewable energy sources for seed bed preparation, soil fertility management, pest control and other farm operations (Lal, 2004).

The purpose of this research is studying Application of the life cycle assessment methodology to wheat production under rain fed and watered farming systems in north of Iran.

#### Methodology:

In order to gather the required data in this study, information related to 72 farms in Guilan province during the agricultural year 2011 was studied. The common agricultural practices to yield wheat in the area of which the study was carried out were: field preparation (plowing, disk harrowing and leveling of the soil), incorporating farmyard 4 manure into the soil, seeding, post-seeding agricultural practices, fertilization, irrigation (water extracted from local wells by means of electrical pumps), spray pesticide, plant protection and harvesting. Farm operations of wheat production in the studied region are shown in figure 1.



**Fig. 1:** Farm operations and system boundary for wheat production.

To find the amount of GHG emission of inputs in wheat production per unit area (hectare), CO<sub>2</sub> emission coefficient was applied (Table 1). For every GHG producers (diesel fuel, poison, chemical fertilizer, and water) the amount of produced CO<sub>2</sub> was calculated by multiplying the input application rate by emission coefficient that is shown in table 4 (Azarpour, 2014; Ghahderijani *et al.*, 2013).

**Table 1:** Amounts of inputs and their equivalent green house gas (GHG) emission for wheat production.

Parameter	Unit	Quantity per Hectare (Watered farming)	Quantity per Hectare (Rain fed farming)	GHG coefficient (kgCO <sub>2eq</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Machinery	h/ha	12	12	0.071
Diesel fuel	L/ha	133	133	2.76
Nitrogen	Kg/ha	66	42	1.3
Phosphorus	Kg/ha	14	11	0.2
Potassium	Kg/ha	10	2	0.2
Poison	L/ha	3	3	5.1
Water	M <sup>3</sup> /ha	1700	0	0.057

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 showed Amounts of inputs and their equivalent green house gas (GHG) emission for wheat production under rain fed farming and rain watered systems. In watered farming system results show that, about 1700 M<sup>3</sup> water, 133 L diesel fuel, 12 h machinery power, 66 kg/ha nitrogen fertilizer, 14 kg/ha phosphorus fertilizer, 10 kg/ha potassium fertilizer and and 3 L chemical poison were used in agro ecosystems wheat production on a hectare basis. In rain fed farming system results show that, about 133 L diesel fuel, 12 h


machinery power, 42 kg/ha nitrogen fertilizer, 11 kg/ha phosphorus fertilizer, 2 kg/ha potassium fertilizer and 3 L chemical poison were used in agro ecosystems wheat production on a hectare basis.

In rain fed farming system results show that, diesel fuel was the major source contributing 83.39% of total green house gases emission and followed by chemical fertilizer, poison and machinery contributing 12.99% (12.40% nitrogen + 0.50% phosphorus + 0.09% potassium), 3.48% and 0.19% of global warming potential, respectively (Figure 2). Between chemical fertilizers, nitrogen had the first rank in green house gases emission and next ranks belonged to phosphorus and potassium with 12.40%, 0.50% and 0.09%, respectively (Figure 1). In rain fed farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were showed table 2 (440.4 kgCO<sub>2eq</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>).


In watered farming system results show that, diesel fuel was the major source contributing 64.32% of total green house gases emission and followed by water, chemical fertilizer, poison and machinery contributing 16.98%, 15.88% (15.03% nitrogen + 0.49% phosphorus + 0.35% potassium), 2.68% and 0.15% of global warming potential, respectively (Figure 3). Between chemical fertilizers, nitrogen had the first rank in green house gases emission and next ranks belonged to phosphorus and potassium with 15.03%, 0.49% and 0.35%, respectively (Figure 1). In watered farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were showed table 2 (570.7 kgCO<sub>2eq</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>). Applying worn out tractors in operations, improper matching of equipment to tractors and performing high energy intensity tillage operation are the most reasons for the high GHG emissions in wheat production (Dyer and Desjardins, 2003). Our estimate of GHG intensity is very similar to that reported by Khakbazan *et al.* (2009) that Greenhouse gas emissions from wheat production can be ranged from 410 kgCO<sub>2eq</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>, 130 kgCO<sub>2eq</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup> depending on fertilizer rate, location and seeding system. Ghahderijani *et al.* (2013) analyzed life cycle assessment of wheat production in Iran found that greenhouse gas emissions from wheat production can be ranged from 756.11 kgCO<sub>2eq</sub>ha<sup>-1</sup>. Global warming potential (GWP), eutrophication potential (EP), human toxicity potential (HTP), terrestrial eco-toxicity potential (TEP), oxidant formation potential (OFP) and acidification potential (AP) were calculated as 2620.86 kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq.t<sup>-1</sup> (tonne of grain), 14.25 kg PO<sub>4</sub>-2 eq.t<sup>-1</sup>, 1111.7 kg 1,4-DCB eq.t<sup>-1</sup>, 10.59 kg 1,4-DCB eq.t<sup>-1</sup>, 0.0073 kg ethylene eq.t<sup>-1</sup> and 19.07 kg SO<sub>2</sub> eq.t<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Khoshnevisan *et al.*, 2013).

**Table 2:** Greenhouse gas emissions of inputs for wheat production.

Parameter	GHG emissions (kgCO <sub>2eq</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (Watered farming)	GHG emissions (kgCO <sub>2eq</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> ) (Rain fed farming)
Machinery	0.9	0.9
Diesel fuel	367.1	367.1
Nitrogen	85.8	54.6
Phosphorus	2.8	2.2
Potassium	2.0	0.4
Poison	15.3	15.3
Water	96.9	0
Total	570.7	440.4



**Fig. 2:** The contribution of GHG emissions of wheat production in rain fed farming system.



**Fig. 3:** The contribution of GHG emissions of wheat production in watered farming system.

#### Conclusion:

Life cycle assessment (LCA) is defined as the compilation and evaluation of the inputs, outputs and potential environmental impacts of a product system throughout its life cycle. Thus, LCA is a tool for the analysis of the environmental burden of products at all stages in their life cycle. In rain fed farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were calculated to be 440.4 kgCO<sub>2</sub>eqha<sup>-1</sup> calculated. In watered farming system, total green house gases emissions for wheat production were calculated to be 570.7 kgCO<sub>2</sub>eqha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

- Azarpour, E., 2014. Investigation life cycle assessment emission of varieties rice under traditional and semi-mechanized farming systems in Iran. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 4(1): 8-14.
- Browne, N.A., R.J. Eckarda., R. Behrendt and R.S. Kingwell, 2011. A comparative analysis of on-farm greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural enterprises in South eastern Australia. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 23: 641-652.
- Dyer, J.A. and R.L. Desjardins, 2003. Simulated farm fieldwork, energy consumption and related greenhouse gas emissions in Canada *Biosystems Engineering*, 85(4): 503-513.
- Ghahderijani, M., S.H. Pishgar Komleh, A. Keyhani and P. Sefeedpari, 2013. Energy analysis and life cycle assessment of wheat production in Iran, *African Journal of Agricultura Research*, 8(18): 1929-1939.
- Hung, P., T. Maeda., D. Miskelly, R. Tsumori and N. Morita, 2008. Physicochemical characteristics and fine structure of high-amylose wheat starches isolated from Australian wheat cultivars. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 71(4): 656-663.
- Kizilaslan, H., 2009. Input-output energy analysis of cherries production in Tokat Province of Turkey. *Applied Energy*, 86: 1354-1358.
- Khoshnevisan, B., S.H. Rafiee, M. Omid and H. Mousazadeh, 2013. Regression modeling of field emissions in wheat production using a life cycle assessment (LCA) approach. *Electronic Journal of Energy & Environment*, 1(2): 9-19.
- Lal, R., 2004. Carbon emission from farm operations. *Environment International*, 30: 981-990.
- Pathak, H. and R. Wassmann, 2007. Introducing greenhouse gas mitigation as a development objective in rice-based agriculture: I. Generation of technical coefficients. *Agricultural Systems*, 94: 807-825.
- Pickel, P., C. Schrank and P. Münch, 2012. Hybrid Tractors – An Approach towards Sustainable Agriculture Machinery, in: Berns, K., Schindler, *et al.* (eds.): *Proceedings of the 2nd Commercial Vehicle Technology Symposium*, Shaker, Aachen, pp: 471-480.
- Riise, E. and A.C. Palsson, 2011. Life cycle management-managing the sustainability of supply chains in the TOSCA project. *LCM 2011 conference proceeding*. Berlin.
- Singh, G., S. Singh and J. Singh, 2004. Optimization of energy inputs for wheat crop in Punjab. *Energy Convers Management*, 45(3): 453-65.
- Singh, S., G. Singh, P. Singh and N. Singh, 2008. Effect of water stress at different stages of grain development on the characteristics of starch and protein of different wheat varieties. *Food Chemistry*, 108(1): 130-139.