



AENSI Journals

Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture

Journal home page: www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html



Effect of Foliar Application of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn on Yield and Essential Oils of *Borago officinalis*

Mehrab Yadegari

Department of agronomy and medicinal plants, Faculty of agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 October 2013

Received in revised form 16 November 2013

Accepted 19 November 2013

Available online 20 December 2013

Key words:

Borago Carotenoids Flavonoids
Micronutrients Phenols

ABSTRACT

Borago is one of the plants of Boraginaceae family. To study the effect of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn foliar applications in Borago on yield and essence production, the investigations were performed in complete randomized block design with 3 replications at 2011 and 2012 in Shahrekord, Iran. Results showed that Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn had the significant effectiveness in percentage of essence, DPPH, carotenoids, flavonoids, phenols, weight of fresh and dry root matter, number of flower, and weight of dry and fresh of flower and weight of dry and fresh shoot matter. Combinations of 400ppm of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn (Fe3Zn3Cu3Mn3) produced the greatest amounts in most of measured characters. The most of phenols, carotenoids and flavonoids was 75, 0.6, 0.6 mg/100 gr flower dry matter and 81, 0.8, 0.62 mg/100 gr flower dry matter in primary and secondary year respectively. The most weight of dry flower and number of flower per plant were 14.8, 16.8 gr and 10.9, 13.9 in 1st and 2nd year and made by Fe3Zn3Cu3Mn3 treatment.

© 2013 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

To Cite This Article: Mehrab Yadegari, Effect of Foliar Application of Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn on Yield and Essential Oils of *Borago officinalis*. *J. Appl. Sci. & Agric.*, 8(5): 568-575, 2013

INTRODUCTION

Borago officinalis Linn. (family; Boraginaceae) is a hairy annual herb commonly known as 'Borage' and 'Gaozaban' locally in Iran. It has been known for its mood elevating properties as early as the first century A.D. (Tyler, 1993). The plant is reputed as antispasmodic, antihypertensive, antipyretic, aphrodisiac, demulcent, diuretic and is also considered useful to treat asthma, bronchitis, cramps, palpitations and kidney ailments (Duke *et al.*, 2002; Yang, 2002). Borage oil has been reported to lower serum cholesterol, phospholipids and triglyceride levels (Gu *et al.*, 1998; Hosseinpour, 2009) and increases the levels of 6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the plasma, liver, aorta and renal artery tissues. Dietary use of borage oil exhibited immuno-modulatory (Harbige *et al.*, 2000) and cytotoxic and free radical scavenging activities (Lin *et al.*, 2002). Borage has gained importance, due to the occurrence of high levels of g-linolenic acid in its seed oil. Flavonoids and phenolic compounds were the important essential oils in this plant and no have tannin. In a recent publication, an extensive investigation on antioxidant properties of extracts of borage meal was reported (Wettasinghe and Shahidi, 1999; Wettasinghe *et al.*, 2001; Makkizadeh tafti *et al.*, 2006). Increasing of dry matter has negative correlation with seed moisture (Mhamdi *et al.*, 2009). Borage extracts demonstrated excellent antioxidant properties and these effects were attributed to their phenolic constituents. Few studies examining Iron, Copper, Zinc and Manganese fertility in Borago have been conducted, but they are not specific to the medicinal use of this plant. Iron is one of the three micro essential nutrient elements required by plants. Fe is important in cytochrome structure. Iron occurs in concentrations of 7,000 to 500,000 mg kg⁻¹ in soils, where it is present mainly in the insoluble Fe (III) (Fageria *et al.*, 2002). The Fe (II) form is normally below the detection level in plants (Schönherr *et al.*, 2005). Copper is an essential microelement in higher plants as it occurs as part of the prosthetic groups of several enzymes. It was shown to be associated with proteins or nuclear contaminants (Nabila *et al.*, 2003; Doming and Bertling, 2004). Zinc is an important micronutrient associated with several enzymatic activities in all photosynthetic plants. Zn is necessary in vital enzymes and growth regulators (Swiader, 2000; Ved *et al.*, 2002). Manganese is involved in many biochemical functions, primarily acting as an activator of enzymes such as dehydrogenases and decarboxylases involved in respiration, amino acid and lignin synthesis, and hormone concentrations (Younis *et al.*, 2013). In alkaline soils nutrient concentration may be not enough and therefore micro nutrient in this soil immobilized quickly and roots of plants can't absorb from soil and some of nutrient no transition to leaves, in this places spray application of micro nutrient solve this problem (Dadhich and Somani, 2007; Foth and Ellis, 1996; Torun *et al.*, 2001), and reduce soil fertilizer loss (Ahmad, 1998). Foliar fertilizer is particularly useful technique which can be designed to meet plants specific needs for one or more micro or macro nutrients especially trace minerals and enable to correct deficiencies, strengthen

Corresponding Author: Mehrab Yadegari, Department of agronomy and medicinal plants, Faculty of agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, Iran.

weak or damaged crops, speed growth and grow better and healthier plants (Khalifa *et al.*, 2009; Malakoti and Davodi, 2002). Objectives of this study were to determine the effects of Iron, Zinc, Copper and Manganese foliar applications on percentage of essence, DPPH, carotenoids, flavonoids, phenols, weight of fresh and dry root matter, number of flower, and weight of dry and fresh of flower and weight of dry and fresh shoot matter of Borago.

Methodology:

Field trials were established in 2012 and 2013 at Shahrekord (50°56' E 32°18' N) South Western Iran on the parcel size 3000 m². Used row spacing was 50 cm. Seeds of *Borago officinalis* Linn, obtained from the Pakan Bazar Company, Isfahan, Iran. Sowing was conducted manual, plant spacing in the each row was 5 cm, and two seeds were put in the soil. In the 3-5 leaves phase plants were thin out to final row distance (20 cm). During the vegetation, used practical measures were standard (3 earth up and pest protection). The soil (typic calci xerocept) physical and chemical properties are shown in Table 1. Experiments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with a factorial layout and three replications. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Topsoil of the experimental plot area was kept moist throughout the growing season when necessary. After soil test, the required nutrients were added to soil. At the end of the blooming stage, shoots of plants were harvested. Four foliar fertilizers Librel Fe-Lo, Librel Cu, Librel Zn and Librel Mn were applied and all of them are mineral fertilizers. Librel Fe-Lo contains 13.2% chelated iron, Librel Zn is a foliar fertilizer which contains 14% Zinc in chelated form, Librel Cu has 14% copper in chelated form and Librel Mn inclusive of 13% Mn chelated with EDTA (obtained from The Chemical Company of England and Germany). These fertilizers were sprayed at four concentrations (Fe1, Fe2, Fe3, Fe4 were 0, 200, 400 and 600 ppm of Fe, respectively and similar in other micronutrients). In this research flowers harvested in 3 steps and before each step, in vegetation phase, treatments were done. At the end of the blooming stage, shoots of plants were harvested. Several parameters including dry and fresh weight of shoot and root, number of flower, dry and fresh flower matter and the amount of essence percentage as well as the chemical component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, and phenols) and antioxidant were determined. Thermo Finnegan Trace 2000 GC/MS, made in the USA, was employed with a HP-5MS capillary column (30 m long and 0.25 mm wide, and a 0.25 µm of film thickness) at a 250°C of injector chamber. The initial column temperature was at 120°C for 5 min then raised to 280°C at the rate of 10°C/min. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a rate of 35 ml/min. MS parameters were as follows: ionization energy, 70eV; ion source temperature, 200°C; voltage, 3000 v; and mass range, 30 to 600. The compositions of the essential oil were identified by comparison of their retention indexes, retention times and mass spectra with those of authentic samples in Wiley library (Adams, 2001). Harvested flowers were dried at room temperature for 1 week. Flower extracts were obtained by stirring 1 g of dry flower powder with 10 ml of pure methanol for 30 min. The extracts were then kept for 24 h at 4°C, filtered through a Whatman no. 4 filter paper, and evaporated under vacuum to dryness and stored at 4°C until analysed. The content of total phenolic of *B.officinalis* methanolic extract were determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu (F-C) reagent according to the method described by Dewanto *et al.* (2002) using Gallic acid as reference.

Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of soil for experiment.

| Year | Clay (%) | Silt (%) | Sand (%) | N _{total} (%) | K _{available} (mg/kg) | P _{available} (mg/kg) | O.C (%) | pH | E.C (ds.m ⁻¹) | Depth (Cm) |
|------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------|---------------------------|------------|
| 2012 | 39 | 33 | 28 | 0.158 | 741 | 15.9 | 1.13 | 8.05 | 0.76 | 0-30 |
| 2013 | 39 | 32 | 29 | 0.17 | 720 | 16.2 | 1.2 | 7.89 | 0.73 | 0-30 |

Dried samples from flowers were hydrolyzed according to the method of Proestos *et al.* (2006), slightly modified. 20 ml of methanol containing BHT (1 g l⁻¹) were added to 0.5 g of a dried sample. Then 10 ml of 1M HCl were added. The mixture was stirred carefully and sonicated for 15min and refluxed in a water bath at 90°C for 2 h. The obtained mixture was injected to HPLC. The phenolic compounds' analysis was carried out using an Agilent Technologies 1100 series liquid chromatograph (RP-HPLC, Palo Alto, CA) coupled with an UV-vis multiwavelength detector. The separation was carried out on a 250×4.6-mm, 4µm Hypersil ODS C18 reversed phase column at ambient temperature. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (solvent A) and water with 0.2% sulphuric acid (solvent B). The flow rate was kept at 0.5 ml min⁻¹. The gradient programme was as follows: 15% A/85% B, 0–12min; 40% A/60% B, 12–14min; 60% A/40% B, 14–18min; 80% A/20% B, 18–20 min; 90% A/10% B, 20–24min; 100% A, 24–28 min (Bourgou *et al.*, 2008). The injection volume was 20µl, and peaks were monitored at 280 nm. Samples were filtered through a 0.45µm membrane filter before injection. Peaks were identified by congruent retention times compared with standards. Analyses were performed by triplicate (Shibamoto, 1987).

The electron donation ability of the obtained methanol extracts was measured by bleaching of the purple-coloured solution of 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH) according to the method of Hanato *et al.* (1988). 2ml of methanolic extracts at different concentrations (borage flower extracts (10 and 50µg ml⁻¹)) were added to 0.5 ml of a 0.2m mol l⁻¹ DPPH methanolic solution. The mixture was shaken vigorously and left standing at room temperature for 30 min. The absorbance of the resulting solution was then measured at 517 nm after 30 min. The antiradical activity (three replicates per treatment) was expressed as IC₅₀ (mg ml⁻¹), the

concentration required to cause a 50% DPPH inhibition. A lower IC50 value corresponds to a higher antioxidant activity of flower extract (Patro *et al.*, 2005). The ability to scavenge the DPPH radical was calculated using the following equation:

$$DPPH(\text{scavenging effect } \%) = [(A_0 - A_1) \div A_0] \times 100$$

Where A0 is the absorbance of the control at 30 min, and A1 is the absorbance of the sample at 30 min. Samples were analysed in triplicate. All data were subjected to ANOVA using the statistical computer package SAS and treatment means compared using Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level.

Results:

Results showed the significant differences between treatments. Although in many of single treatments no significant differences, but in combinations and by Duncan's comparison, there were differences in most characters that result the Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃Zn₃ treatment was the best (Tables 2-4). It seem that by synergist application of micro nutrient results to additive of effectiveness of measured characters but upper than 400 ppm, the action of fertilizer was toxicity. The components of borago oil were influenced by different treatments. The application treatment of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn was significantly improved the growth characters including dry and fresh weight of shoot and root, number of flower, dry and fresh flower matter and the amount of essence percentage as well as the chemical component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, and phenols) and antioxidant were determined showed significant response with increasing rates of foliar fertilizers (table 2). Meanwhile, the effect of combination of four micronutrients was surpassed that of single of them. Thus, the foliar application of 400 ppm Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn caused the highest increments compared with other treatments. Length of stem in control plants was the least but the number of stem per plant in Fe₄Cu₄Mn₄Zn₄ was the least (data no published). In character of number of flowers per plant, control plants and plants treated by treatments of 600 ppm of micronutrients were similar. The application of 400 ppm of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn has increased flavonoids, phenols and carotenoids in the oil of borago. However, combination of Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃Zn₃ treatment was more effective than other treatments. Most of amount of measured characters (for example flavonoids, number and weight of flower) decreased by application upper than 400 ppm of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn. Use of the upper range of optimum micro nutrient decreased the yield and essence production. Control treatment in many places made better yield components than 600 ppm concentration of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn. In most treatments, combination of Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃B₃, Fe₃Cu₃Mn₂Zn₂ and Fe₃Cu₃Mn₁Zn₁ made the maximum amount of characters, but Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃B₃ was the best combination (tables 3, 4). In most times, control plants made alike of Fe₄Cu₄Mn₂Zn₂, Fe₄Cu₄Mn₃Zn₃ and Fe₄Cu₄Mn₄Zn₄. For toxicities of upper concentrations of Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn, the results obtained similar by control plants. It was clear from the presented data that the highest levels of the four foliar fertilizers were more effective than lower levels, and Librel Fe-Lo fertilizer was superior to other micronutrients. However, the highest essence percentage was found with Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃Zn₃ (0.9% and 1.1% in first and second year respectively). The most phenols made by Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃Zn₃ in two years (75% and 81 % in 1st and 2nd year). Concentration of micronutrients positively affected on characters measured and between the dry and fresh weight of shoot and root, number of flower, dry and fresh flower matter and the amount of essence percentage as well as the chemical component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, and phenols) and antioxidant were determined of borago were positive correlated and in best combinations of treatments (tables 3, 4) the Fe₃Cu₃Mn₃Zn₃ was the best. Essence percentage positively correlated with the weight of shoot dry and fresh matter, number and weight of flowers (tables 3, 4). Weight of shoot dry and fresh matter positively correlated with number and weight of flowers and essence percentage of borago.

Table 2: Complex analysis of variance of shoot dry and fresh matter, root dry and fresh matter, sum of number of flower per plant, dry and fresh flower matter, essence percentage, carotenoids, flavonoids, phenols and antioxidant (mg/100 dry matter) plants that are affected by several micronutrients.

| Source of variation | Degree of freedom | DPPH | flavonoids | phenols | carotenoids | essence percentage | fresh flower matter | Dry flower matter | number of flower | root dry matter | root fresh matter | shoot dry matter | shoot fresh matter |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| M.S | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | 1 | 0.14 | 0.067 | 11.1 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 5.2 |
| R/Y | 4 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 12 | 0.008 | 0.0032 | 6.6 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 3.3 |
| Fe | 3 | 1.77** | 0.036** | 95.1** | 0.09** | 0.00004 ^{ns} | 2.4 ^{ns} | 2.2 ^{ns} | 1.8* | 4.2* | 1.5 ^{ns} | 5.5* | 4.1* |
| Zn | 3 | 0.126** | 0.006* | 49.2** | 0.05** | 0.00003 ^{ns} | 2.5 ^{ns} | 1.2 ^{ns} | 1.5 ^{ns} | 2.4 ^{ns} | 1.4 ^{ns} | 4.1 ^{ns} | 3.9* |
| Cu | 3 | 0.027* | 0.005* | 33.9* | 0.003* | 0.00004 ^{ns} | 2.6 ^{ns} | 1.9 ^{ns} | 1.6 ^{ns} | 2.7 ^{ns} | 1.5 ^{ns} | 4.7 ^{ns} | 2.5 ^{ns} |
| Mn | 3 | 0.002 ^{ns} | 0.015** | 14.2 ^{ns} | 0.0031* | 0.00005 ^{ns} | 2.4 ^{ns} | 1.3 ^{ns} | 1.1 ^{ns} | 2.5 ^{ns} | 1.3 ^{ns} | 5.4 ^{ns} | 2.7 ^{ns} |
| Fe×Zn | 9 | 0.019* | 0.004* | 1421.2** | 0.09** | 0.00008* | 2.7* | 3.7* | 1.2 ^{ns} | 3.3* | 1.8* | 8.6** | 3.4* |
| Cu×Fe | 9 | 0.14** | 0.32* | 18.4 ^{ns} | 0.07** | 0.0067** | 6.6** | 3.9* | 1.3* | 3.7* | 3.4** | 4.1* | 8.8** |
| Mn×Fe | 9 | 0.021* | 0.0038* | 23.86* | 0.0019** | 0.00009* | 2.8* | 3.85* | 2.6** | 7.5** | 1.9* | 4.2* | 8.6** |
| Cu×Zn | 9 | 0.23** | 0.44** | 14.1 ^{ns} | 0.002* | 0.012** | 7.8** | 5.8** | 1.4* | 7.3** | 3.3** | 11.1** | 8.9** |
| Mn×Zn | 9 | 0.44** | 0.1** | 24.1* | 0.0021* | 0.000078* | 2.7* | 7.6** | 4.7** | 3.4* | 4.4** | 10.5** | 10.3** |
| Cu×Mn | 9 | 0.56** | 0.32** | 55.4** | 0.2** | 0.000081* | 9.9** | 3.6* | 3.3** | 3.3* | 2.05* | 11.5** | 3.5* |
| Cu×Fe×Zn | 27 | 0.016 | 0.003* | 19.1 | 0.0015* | 0.00007* | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 7.6** | 3.2 | 1.87 |
| Fe×Zn×Mn | 27 | 0.33** | 0.22** | 57.6** | 0.7** | 0.069** | 10.6** | 9.7** | 5.4** | 2.1* | 1.5* | 15.5** | 14.4** |
| Cu×Mn×Fe | 27 | 0.24** | 0.0034* | 20.22* | 0.8** | 0.000075* | 2.2* | 9.1** | 1.1* | 9.8** | 7.4** | 12.5** | 14.1** |
| Cu×Mn×Zn | 27 | 0.017* | 0.14** | 96.67** | 0.9** | 0.056** | 15.4** | 3.2* | 1.2* | 1.9* | 1.7* | 3.3* | 1.8* |
| Cu×Zn×Mn×Fe | 81 | 0.011 | 0.0024* | 15.1 | 0.0011* | 0.00006* | 1.7 | 2.4 | 0.9* | 1.6 | 1.1* | 2.5* | 1.5* |
| T(Cu,Zn,Mn,Fe)×Y | 255 | 0.0022* | 0.0004* | 3.1* | 0.0002* | 0.000009* | 0.3* | 0.45* | 0.16* | 0.28* | 0.19* | 0.5* | 0.3* |
| E | 1020 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 12.56 | 0.001 | 0.00004 | 1.34 | 1.1 | 0.67 | 1.2 | 0.85 | 2.1 | 1.2 |
| Coefficient of variation | | 4.4 | 1.1 | 12.2 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 9.7 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 12.3 |

Table 3: Results of correlation between characters in borago plants that are affected by several micronutrients in first year.

| Characters | Weight of shoot fresh matter | Weight of shoot dry matter | Weight of root fresh matter | Weight Of root dry matter | Number Of flowers | Weight of dry flower | Weight Of fresh flower | Essence percentage | carotenoids | phenols | flavonoids | DPPH |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|------------|------|
| Weight of shoot fresh matter | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of shoot dry matter | 0.52* | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of root fresh matter | 0.26 | 0.1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of root dry matter | 0.36 | 0.56** | 0.66** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of flowers | 0.5* | 0.58** | 0.64** | 0.52* | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Weight of dry flower | 0.5* | 0.76** | 0.5* | 0.44 | 0.58** | 1 | | | | | | |
| Weight of fresh flower | 0.6** | 0.59** | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.55** | 0.58** | 1 | | | | | |
| Essence percentage | 0.48 | 0.56** | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.7** | 0.65** | 0.46 | 1 | | | | |
| carotenoids | 0.1 | 0.68** | 0.11 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7** | 0.26 | 0.69** | 1 | | | |
| phenols | 0.11 | 0.76** | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.42 | 0.4 | 0.24 | 0.66** | 0.48 | 1 | | |
| flavonoids | 0.1 | 0.56** | 0.3 | 0.55* | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.68** | 0.26 | 0.36 | 1 | |
| DPPH | 0.11 | 0.56** | 0.23 | 0.65** | 0.22 | 0.5* | 0.2 | 0.8** | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 1 |

Table 4: Results of correlation between characters in borago plants that are affected by several micronutrients in secondary year.

| Characters | Weight of shoot fresh matter | Weight of shoot dry matter | Weight of root fresh matter | Weight Of root dry matter | Number Of flowers | Weight of dry flower | Weight Of fresh flower | Essence percentage | carotenoids | phenols | flavonoids | DPPH |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|------------|------|
| Weight of shoot fresh matter | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of shoot dry matter | 0.56** | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of root fresh matter | 0.36 | 0.2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight of root dry matter | 0.36 | 0.66** | 0.73** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Number of flowers | 0.5* | 0.68** | 0.65** | 0.7** | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Weight of dry flower | 0.48 | 0.8** | 0.6** | 0.4 | 0.8** | 1 | | | | | | |
| Weight of fresh flower | 0.78** | 0.7** | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.87** | 0.87** | 1 | | | | | |
| Essence percentage | 0.58* | 0.6** | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7** | 0.7** | 0.6** | 1 | | | | |
| Carotenoids | 0.2 | 0.6** | 0.14 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.8** | 0.3 | 0.8** | 1 | | | |
| Phenols | 0.22 | 0.8** | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5* | 0.3 | 1 | | |
| Flavonoids | 0.3 | 0.7** | 0.2 | 0.5* | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.48 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1 | |
| DPPH | 0.18 | 0.6** | 0.19 | 0.8** | 0.1 | 0.7** | 0.3 | 0.7** | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1 |

Table 5: Means of Characters measured in borago plants that are affected by micronutrients (400 ppm) concentration and control plants (1st year).

| Treatments | DPPH | flavonoids | phenols | carotenoids | essence percentage | fresh flower matter | Dry flower matter | number of flower | root dry matter | root fresh matter | shoot dry matter | shoot fresh matter |
|-------------|--------|------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Fe | 0.6c | 0.03cd | 12.1c | 0.07de | 0.24d | 13c | 6.8cd | 7cd | 15cd | 21.3de | 16.7c | 32.3d |
| Zn | 0.55cd | 0.04cd | 12.2c | 0.11d | 0.22d | 10.7cd | 6.5cd | 8c | 12.7cd | 23.3de | 15.7c | 32.6cd |
| Cu | 0.4cd | 0.07c | 12.4c | 0.095d | 0.25d | 13c | 6.3cd | 8.1c | 12.5cd | 22.3de | 17.4bc | 32.8cd |
| Mn | 0.5cd | 0.09c | 13.1c | 0.1d | 0.24d | 10.7cd | 6.5cd | 6.7d | 12.5cd | 20.4de | 16.2c | 32.3d |
| Fe×Zn | 0.6c | 0.11c | 13.5c | 0.23bc | 0.56c | 11.6cd | 7.4cd | 9.2b | 14.6cd | 24.8d | 17.3bc | 33.3cd |
| Cu×Fe | 0.7c | 0.1c | 19cd | 0.22bc | 0.52c | 13c | 7.7cd | 9.3b | 16cd | 26.3cd | 17.5bc | 33.8cd |
| Mn×Fe | 0.7c | 0.28b | 20cd | 0.18bc | 0.55c | 13c | 8.8c | 9.5b | 18c | 28.1c | 17.9bc | 34.2cd |
| Cu×Zn | 0.6c | 0.29b | 25c | 0.17bc | 0.59bc | 12cd | 8.5cd | 9.6b | 16.7cd | 27.3cd | 19.2bc | 35.2c |
| Mn×Zn | 0.6c | 0.31b | 22c | 0.15c | 0.54c | 13c | 8.1cd | 9.6b | 16.4cd | 28.3c | 16.6c | 34.3cd |
| Cu×Mn | 0.56cd | 0.16bc | 35bc | 0.25bc | 0.62bc | 13c | 8.2cd | 9.7ab | 16.2cd | 27.4cd | 17.5bc | 36.7bc |
| Cu×Fe×Zn | 0.8bc | 0.15bc | 49b | 0.3b | 0.71b | 15b | 9.8bc | 10.3a | 20bc | 31.3bc | 22ab | 36.8bc |
| Fe×Zn×Mn | 0.9bc | 0.3b | 50b | 0.38b | 0.7b | 17ab | 9.9bc | 10.5a | 20bc | 32.5bc | 21.3ab | 37.2bc |
| Cu×Mn×Fe | 1.1b | 0.43ab | 65ab | 0.39b | 0.8ab | 15b | 10.8b | 10.5a | 22b | 34.3b | 22.7ab | 37.5bc |
| Cu×Mn×Zn | 1b | 0.45ab | 55b | 0.4b | 0.8ab | 15.3b | 11.1b | 10.2a | 22.3b | 34.9b | 20.2b | 38.2b |
| Cu×Zn×Mn×Fe | 1.5a | 0.6a | 75a | 0.6a | 0.9a | 19a | 14.8a | 10.9a | 34.1a | 44.8a | 30.9a | 42.2a |
| Control | 0.3d | 0.01d | 8d | 0.05e | 0.2d | 10d | 5.5d | 7d | 12d | 20.1e | 10.3d | 20.4e |

Table 6: Means of Characters measured in borago plants that are affected by micronutrients (400 ppm) concentration and control plants (2nd year).

| Treatments | DPPH | flavonoids | phenols | carotenoids | essence percentage | fresh flower matter | Dry flower matter | number of flower | root dry matter | root fresh matter | shoot dry matter | shoot fresh matter |
|-------------|--------|------------|---------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Fe | 0.7c | 0.08c | 14c | 0.07de | 0.23d | 15c | 7.5d | 10cd | 16.2cd | 32.5d | 15.7c | 39.3d |
| Zn | 0.59cd | 0.05cd | 12c | 0.11d | 0.21d | 15c | 8.5cd | 11c | 14.9cd | 32.5d | 12.7c | 39.6cd |
| Cu | 0.43cd | 0.06c | 11c | 0.095d | 0.5c | 15c | 7.7d | 11.1c | 14.7cd | 35.7d | 18.4bc | 36.3d |
| Mn | 0.55cd | 0.03cd | 11.1c | 0.07de | 0.24d | 11d | 8.5cd | 8.7d | 13d | 30.1e | 15.4c | 30.2de |
| Fe×Zn | 0.66c | 0.09c | 11.5c | 0.23bc | 0.5c | 13.6cd | 9.4cd | 11.2c | 14.8d | 30.1e | 18.4bc | 42.5cd |
| Cu×Fe | 0.7c | 0.09c | 21cd | 0.22bc | 0.5c | 13.4cd | 9.7cd | 12.3b | 20.5c | 35.3c | 18.5bc | 42.5cd |
| Mn×Fe | 0.77c | 0.25b | 22cd | 0.2c | 0.5c | 15c | 10.8c | 11.5c | 20.5c | 40.2c | 18.9bc | 45.2c |
| Cu×Zn | 0.6c | 0.15bc | 30c | 0.2c | 0.61bc | 14cd | 10.5cd | 12.6b | 20c | 41.3c | 21bc | 45.2c |
| Mn×Zn | 0.66c | 0.12bc | 31c | 0.2c | 0.54c | 15c | 10.9c | 12.6b | 18.6cd | 42.3c | 15.6c | 45.2c |
| Cu×Mn | 0.66c | 0.11bc | 35bc | 0.35bc | 0.5c | 15c | 10.2cd | 12.7ab | 18.4cd | 44.4c | 18.5bc | 51.7bc |
| Cu×Fe×Zn | 0.88bc | 0.3b | 50b | 0.35bc | 0.75b | 17b | 11.8bc | 13.3a | 22bc | 52.3bc | 24ab | 51.8bc |
| Fe×Zn×Mn | 0.99bc | 0.4b | 38bc | 0.4b | 0.72b | 19ab | 13b | 12.5b | 23bc | 54.5bc | 24.3ab | 54.2b |
| Cu×Mn×Fe | 1.2b | 0.48b | 72a | 0.4b | 0.9ab | 17b | 12.8b | 12.5b | 28b | 56.3b | 24.7ab | 54.5b |
| Cu×Mn×Zn | 1b | 0.34b | 68a | 0.41b | 0.72b | 17.5b | 13.1b | 13.2a | 24.5b | 57.9b | 30.2b | 54.2b |
| Cu×Zn×Mn×Fe | 1.6a | 0.62a | 75a | 0.8a | 1.1a | 22a | 16.8a | 13.9a | 34.7a | 60.8a | 35.8a | 70.2a |
| Control | 0.34d | 0.015d | 12d | 0.05e | 0.1d | 11d | 7.5d | 9d | 14d | 25.4f | 15.5c | 27.4e |

Discussion:

Our results showed beneficial micronutrient application on borago (table 1). The same results in *Calendula* (Naguib *et al.*, 2005) and *Artemisia annua* L. (Glyn, 2002) were reported. Increasing of micronutrients can obtain more yield, essence and essential oils from borago (Naguib *et al.*, 2005). The beneficial application of micronutrients was reported by other researchers (Asad and Rafique, 2000; Hussain *et al.*, 2005; Ziaeiian and Malakoti, 1998; Thaloorth *et al.*, 2006; Yadegari *et al.*, 2012). Results of this research showed that foliar application of micronutrient made the more essential oil in flower of *Borago officinalis* (tables 5, 6). A similar effect of micronutrient supply on this parameter was also reported on *M.chamomilla* (Grejtovský *et al.*, 2006; Nasiri *et al.*, 2010), *S.farinacea* (Nahed and Balbaa, 2007), *Coriandrum sativum* (Said-Al Ahl and Omer, 2009), and *Ocimum basilicum* (Said-Al Ahl and Mahmoud, 2010). In the present study, the effect of Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} applications was determined on the growth and yield of borago in two consecutive years. According to our knowledge, this is the first report that shows that Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} can affect the yield and growth of borago and the same response can probably be found in other crop species that have requirements for Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} (Asad and Rafique, 2000; Aziz and El-Sherbeny, 2004; Doming and Bertling, 2004; Hussain *et al.*, 2005; Said-Al Ahl and Omer, 2009; Said-Al Ahl and Mahmoud, 2010; Sharafi *et al.*, 2002). Dry matter flower increased in both years with micronutrients applications. The percentage of essence and DPPH was affected by the Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} applications. The essential oil yield increased with Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} applications because there was a significant increase in dry matter and number of flower. This is the first report in which the effects of Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} applications on certain physiological characteristics were determined for borago and also their relationship with yield and essential oil content. It is obvious that weight and number of flowers can be used as a tool for selecting new cultivars with high yield. However, more research is needed to explore these tools for borago breeding and for better borago management. The DPPH level was found to correlate with essence percentage, flower and shoot dry matter. It seems the Weight of shoot dry matter was the best character that correlated by most of characters a finding with which others agree (Makkizadeh tafti *et al.*, 2006; Mhamdi *et al.*, 2009; Naghdi Badi and Sorooshzadeh, 2011). From the present data, we conclude that applications of Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} are beneficial for borago plants with concentrations of 400ppm or lower, and can result in an increase in dry matter yield of up to 40%. Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} have direct functions on growth and the development of plants. There are still many unanswered questions about how Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} act in increasing yield and its components (number of flower plant) for borago. One possibility is that the foliarly applied Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} can affect dry matter accumulation and increase dry matter flower. Fe^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Mn^{2+} can increase the weight of shoot dry matter and weight of root dry matter. (Asad and Rafique, 2000; Aziz and El-Sherbeny, 2004; Dadhich and Somani, 2007; Doming and Bertling, 2004; Hussain *et al.*, 2005; Said-Al Ahl and Mahmoud, 2010; Thaloorth *et al.*, 2006; Yadegari *et al.*, 2012; Younis *et al.*, 2013). It seem, control plants that no have foliar application, were better than plants that have upper concentration of micronutrients. Combinations of micronutrients upper concentration than 400 ppm had more reducer effect than single of them. Consumption of these fertilizers in *Mentha* sp can increase essence glands and therefore essence can increase (Evans, 1996). Increasing of auxin, chlorophyll and RUBP concentration, nitrogen use efficiency by Fe consumption was previously reported (Sharafi *et al.*, 2002). Positive correlations were found between the content of antioxidant activity with flower dry weight and essence percentage in two seasons (tables 3, 4). Que *et al.* (2006) and Hee *et al.* (2006) showed also that essential oils in rice and wheat correlated with antioxidants activity. In conclusion by use the optimum amount of micro nutrient, the yield characters will increase. These results reflect the role of applying the four foliar fertilizers in improving the total essential oils in *Borago* species plants. It seems that Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn by the effect on absorption and transition of essential nutrients made the change of metabolism and growth and development and then increased upper phytochemicals (Malakoti and Davodi 2002; Ziaeiian and Malakoti, 1998). The primitive effect of foliar fertilizers were in agreement with those obtained by El-Leithy (1998), Youssef (1998), Shirani (2011) and they reported that foliar spraying with micronutrients had stimulatory responses in growth characters. Similarly Khalil *et al* (2001), Refaat and Balbaa (2001), Aziz and El-Sherbeny (2004) showed that vegetative growth parameters and yield of different plants recorded significant increase with the application of applying various foliar fertilizer. Generally, the obtained results revealed that under Iranian conditions applying foliar fertilizer as Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn at 400 ppm resulted in highest improvement of growth character, yield and chemical constituents. Decreasing effect of $Fe_4Cu_4Mn_4Zn_4$ made the equivalent amount of control plants.

Conclusion:

Plants treated with 400 ppm of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and copper, had the more fresh and dry weight of flowers and essence percentage. Therefore, for maximum yield and quality of borago, foliar application of micronutrients by 400 ppm concentration is recommended. It could be concluded from the results that Iron, Zinc, Manganese and copper fertilization had significant effect on dry and fresh weight of shoot and root, number of flower, dry and fresh flower matter and the amount of essence percentage as well as the chemical

component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, and phenols) of borago. The highest number of flower per plant (13.9) and weight of flower per plant (16.8 g) and essence percentage (1.1%) was obtained from the plants were received 400 ppm of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and copper. Essence percentage significantly affected by micronutrients fertilizer. The highest DPPH (1.6) was measured from the flowers was received 400 ppm of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and copper. The most suitable Fe-Zn-Mn-Cu supply for production of borago to obtain the highest number and weight of flowers in Shahrekord, Iran is 400ppm foliar application of micronutrients. Effect of combined application of micronutrients fertilizers is suggested in compare with the separately use of them.

REFERENCES

- Adams, R.P., 2001. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/ Mass Spectroscopy. Allured publishing Corp. Carol Stream. USA.
- Ahmad, N., 1998. Foliar fertilization in Pakistan: Status, scope and constraints. Proc. Symp."Foliar Fertilization: A Technique to Improve Production and Decrease Pollution". Public NRC. Cairo, pp: 7-15.
- Asad, A. and R. Rafique, 2000. Effect of Boron, copper, iron, manganese and boron on the yield and yield components of wheat crop in tehsil Peshawar. Pakistan Journal of Biology Science, 3: 1815-1830.
- Aziz, E. and S.E. El-Sherbeny, 2004. Effect of some macro and micro-nutrients on Growth and chemical constituents of *Sidiritis montana* L. as a new plant introduced into Egypt. Arab Univ. Journal of Agriculture Science, 12: 391-403.
- Bourgou, S., K. Riadh, B. Amor, S. Ines, F. Hanen and M. Brahim, 2008, Phenolic composition and biological activities of Tunisian *Nigella sativa* L. shoots and roots. Comptes Rendus Biologies, 331: 48-55.
- Dadhich, S.K. and L.L. Somani, 2007. Effect of integrated nutrient management in Soybean-Wheat crop sequence on the yield, micronutrient uptake and post-harvest availability of micronutrients on Typic Ustochrepts soil. Acta Agronomica Hungarica, 55(2): 205-216.
- Dewanto, V.W.X., K.K. Adom and R.H. Liu, 2002. Thermal processing enhances the nutritional value of tomatoes by increasing total antioxidant activity. Journal of Agriculture Food Chemistry, 50: 3010-3014.
- Doming, A. and I. Bertling, 2004. Manganese, zinc, and silicon studies of cucumber (*Cucumis sativa*) using a miniature hydroponics system. International Symposium on Growing Media and Hydroponics, ISHS, Acta Horticulture, pp: 644.
- Duke, J.A., M.J. Bogenschutz, J. DuCelliar and P.K. Duke, 2002. Hand Book of Medicinal Herbs, second ed. CRC Press. Boca Raton, pp: 373-374.
- El-Leithy, S.M., 1998. Effect of soil type and micronutrients on growth, yield and chemical constituents of *Nigella sativa* L. PhD. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo Univ.
- Evans, W.C., 1996. Trease and Evans. Pharmacognosy. 14th Edition, Chapter 21, "Volatile oils and resins", Wiley, New York.
- Fageria, N.K., V.C. Baligar and R.B. Clark, 2002. Micronutrients in crop production. In: D.L. Sparks, ed. Advances in Agronomy, San Diego, Academic Press, pp: 185-268.
- Foth, H.D. and B.G. Ellis, 1996. Soil fertility. 2nd Ed. Lewis Pub. New York.
- Glyn, M.F., 2002. Mineral nutrition, production and artemisin content in *Artemisia annua* L. Acta Horticulture, 426: 721-728.
- Grejtovský, A., K. Markušová and A. Eliašová, 2006. The response of chamomile (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.) plants to soil Boron supply. Plant Soil Environment, 52(1): 1-7.
- Gu, J.Y., Y. Wakizono, A. Dohi, M. Nonaka, M. Sugano and K. Yamada, 1998. Effect of dietary fats and sesamin on the lipid metabolism and immune function of Sprague-Dawley rats. Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry, 62: 1917-1924.
- Hanato, T., H. Kagawa, T. Yasuhara and T. Okuda, 1988. Two new flavonoids and other constituents in licorice root: their relative astringency and radical scavenging effect. Chemistry Pharmacology Bulletin, 36: 1090-1097.
- Harbige, L.S., L. Layward, M.M. Morris-Downes, D.C. Dumonde and S. Amor, 2000. The protective effects of omega-6 fatty acids in experimental utoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in relation to transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1) up-regulation and increased prostaglandin E2 (PG E2) production. Clinical and Experimental Immunology, 122: 445-452.
- Hee, K.K., R. Tsao, R. Yang and W.C. Steve, 2006. Phenolic acid profiles and antioxidant activities of wheat bran extracts and the effect of hydrolysis conditions. Food Chemistry, 95: 466-473.
- Hosseinpour azad, N., 2009. Investigation on genetic diversity of (*Echium amoenum* Fisch.andMey.). via RAPD markers and gamma linolenic acid diversity trough TLC. M.Sc thesis of plant breeding. Faculty of agriculture, Mozandaran University. Iran.
- Hussain, N., M.A. Khan and M.A. Javed, 2005. Effect of foliar application of plant micronutrient mixture on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pakistan Journal Biology Science, 8(8): 1096-1099.

Khalifa, R., K.M. Omama, M. Hafez and H. Abd-El-Khair, 2009. Influence of foliar spraying with boron and calcium on productivity, fruit quality, nutritional status and controlling of blossom end rot disease of Anna apple trees. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(2): 237-249.

Khalil, M.S., M.S. Hussein and S.E. El-Sherbeny, 2001. A comparative study on the effect of some foliar fertilizers on the growth, yield of *Sinapis alba* and *Nigella sativa* and *Sinapis alba*. *Egypt Journal Horticulture*, 28: 371-385.

Lin, L., L. Liu, L. Chiang and C. Lin, 2002. In-vitro anti-hepatoma activity of fifteen natural medicines from Canada. *Phytotherapy Research*, 16: 440-444.

Makkizadeh tafti, M., R. Tavakol Afshari, N. Majnoon Hosseini, H. Naghdi Badi and A. Mehdizadeh, 2006. Effect of osmo priming on seed germination of borage (*Borago officinalis* L.). *Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant*, 22: 216-222.

Malakoti, M.J. and M.H. Davodi, 2002. Zn forgotten nutrient in life cycle of plant. *Sana issuance*, pp: 209.

Mhamdi, B., W. Aidi Wannas, J. Sriti, I. Jellali, R. Ksouri and B. Marzouk, 2009. Effect of harvesting time on phenolic compounds and antiradical scavenging activity of *Borago officinalis* seed extracts. *Industrial Crops and Products*, pp: 1.

Nabila, Y., M. Naguib, Y. Khalil and E.N. Abou Zeid, 2003. Response of some radish cultivars to fertilization with Boron and various nitrogen sources. *Bull. NRC, Egypt*, 28(3): 315-336.

Naghdi Badi, H. and A. Sorooshzadeh, 2011. Evaluating potential of borage (*Borago officinalis* L.) in bioremediation of saline soil. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10 (2): 146-153.

Naguib, N.Y., M.Y. Khalil, S.E. El-Sherbeny, 2005. A Comparative Study on the Productivity and Chemical Constituents of Various Sources and Species of Calendula Plants as Affected by Two Foliar Fertilizers. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 1(2): 176-189.

Nahed Abd El-Aziz, G. and L.K. Balbaa, 2007. Influence of tyrosine and Boron on growth, flowering and chemical constituents of *Salvia farinacea* plants. *Journal Applied Science Research*, 3(11): 1479-1489.

Nasiri, Y., S. Zehtab-Salmasi, S. Nasrullahzadeh and N. Najafi, 2010. Effects of foliar application of micronutrients (Fe and B) on flower yield and essential oil of chamomile (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 4(17): 1733-1737.

Patro, B.S., A.K., Bauri, S. Mishra and S. Chattopadhyay, 2005. Antioxidant activity of *Myristica malabarica* extracts and their constituents. *Journal Agriculture Food Chemistry*, 53: 6912-6918.

Proestos, C., I.S. Bozaris, G.J.E. Nychas and M. Komaitis, 2006. Analysis of flavonoids and phenolic acids in Greek aromatic plants: investigation of their antioxidant capacity and antimicrobial activity. *Food Chemistry*, 95: 664-671.

Que, F., L. Mao and X. Pan, 2006. Antioxidant activities of five Chinese rice wines and the involvement of phenolic compounds. *Food Research International*, 39: 581-587.

Refaat, A.M. and L.K. Balbaa, 2001. Yield and quality of lemongrass plants (*Cymbopogon flexuosus* stapf) in relation to foliar application of some vitamin and micro-elements. *Egypt Journal Horticulture*, 28: 41-57.

Said-Al Ahl, H.A.H. and E.A. Omer, 2009. Effect of spraying with Boron and / or iron on growth and chemical composition of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) harvested at three stages of development. *Journal Medicine Food Plants*, 1(2): 30-46.

Said-Al Ahl, H.A.H. and A. Mahmoud, 2010. Effect of Boron and / or iron foliar application on growth and essential oil of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under salt stress. *Ozean Journal Applied Science*, 3(1): 97-111.

Schönherr, J., V. Fernandez and L. Schreiber, 2005. Rates of cuticular penetration of chelated FeIII: role of humidity, concentration, adjuvants, temperature, and type of chelate. *Journal Agriculture Food Chemistry*, 53: 4484-4492.

Sharafi, S., M. Tajbakhsh, M. Majidi and A. Pourmirza, 2002. Effect of iron and Boron fertilizer on yield and yield components of two forage corn cultivars in Urmia. *Soil and Water*, 12: 85-94.

Shibamoto, T., 1987. *Capillary Gas Chromatography in Essential Oil Analysis*. Hüthig, Heidelberg, pp: 259.

Swiader, J.M., 2000. *Micronutrient fertilizer recommendation for vegetable crop*, Horticulture Facts.

Thalooth, A.T., M.M. Tawfik and H. Magda Mohamed, 2006. Comparative study on the effect of foliar application of Boron, potassium and magnesium on growth, yield and some chemical constituents of mungbean plants grown under water stress conditions. *World Journal Agriculture Science*, 2: 37-46.

Torun, A., I.G.A., Itekin, M. Kalayci, A. Yilmaz, S. Eker and I. Cakmak, 2001. Effects of zinc fertilization on grain yield and shoot concentrations of zinc, boron, and phosphorus of 25 wheat cultivars grown on a zinc-deficient and boron-toxic soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 24(11): 1817-1829.

Tyler, V.E., 1993. *The Honest Herbal*, third ed. Haworth Press, New York, pp: 51-53.

Ved, R., S.K. Misra and R.M. Upadhyay, 2002. Effects of sulphur, zinc and biofertilizers on the quality characteristics of mungbean. *Indian Journal Pulses Research*, 2: 139-141.

Wettasinghe, M., F. Shahidi., R. Amarowicz and M. Mamdouh, 2001. Phenolic acids in defatted seeds of borage (*Borago officinalis* L.). Food Chemistry, 75: 49-56.

Yadegari, M., G.H.N. Farahani and Z. Mosadeghzad, 2012. Biofertilizers effects on quantitative and qualitative yield of Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 7(34): 4716-4723.

Yang, W., S. Sokh Ansanj, J. Tang and P. Winter, 2002. Determination of thermal conductivity, specific heat and thermal diffusivity of Borage seed. Biosystems engineering, 82: 169-176.

Younis, A., A. Riaz, M. Sajid, N. Mushtaq, M. Ahsan and M. Nadeem, 2013. Foliar application of macro- and micronutrients on the yield and quality of *Rosa hybrida* cvs. Cardinal and Whisky Mac. African Journal of Biotechnology, 12(7): 702-708.

Youssef, A.A., 1998. Influence of brassinosteroid and Boron on growth, yield and seed composition of *Nigella sativa*. Journal Agriculture Science, 23: 4551-4560.

Ziaieian, A. and M.J. Malakoti, 1998. Effect of micronutrient application and application time on increasing yield. Soil and Water, 2(1): 56-62.