



AENSI Journals

## Journal of Applied Science and Agriculture

Journal home page: [www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html](http://www.aensiweb.com/jasa/index.html)

## Foliar Application of Fe, Cu, Mn and B on growth, yield, and essential oil yield of marigold (*Calendula officinalis*)

Mehrab Yadegari

Department of agronomy and medicinal plants, Faculty of agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 11 October 2013

Received in revised form 16 November 2013

Accepted 19 November 2013

Available online 15 December 2013

## Key words:

Carotenoids Flavonoids

Marigold Micronutrients

Polyphenols

## ABSTRACT

To study the effect of different concentrations of Iron, Copper, Manganese and Boron foliar application in marigold on yield and essence production, the investigation was performed in complete randomized block design with 3 replications. Each micronutrient applied in four concentrations (0, 200, 400, 600 ppm). The experiment was repeated at two growth periods (2012 and 2013) in southwestern Iran in a sandy clay soil. Objectives of this study were to determine the effects of foliar Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>Bo<sup>3-</sup> applications on length of stem, leaf and root, number of flower, fresh and dry matter of root and shoot, stem diameter, fresh and dry weight of flower, carotenoids, flavonoids, polyphenols, essence percentage of marigold. Results showed that Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>Bo<sup>3-</sup> applications significantly increased the measured characters. In two year, the greatest number of harvested flower (harvest1: 3500, harvest2: 6500, harvest3: 6000), length of stem (36 cm), shoot dry matter (0.39gr), root dry matter (0.38 gr) produced by combination of 400 ppm of Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>Bo<sup>3-</sup>. The most of poly phenols, carotenoids and flavonoids was 25%, 42%, 25.5% and 27%, 40%, 24.5% in primary and secondary year respectively. The most weight of dry flower, number of flower per plant was 14.8 gr, 16.8 gr and 10.9, 13.9 in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> years respectively, were made by combination of 400 ppm of all micronutrients (Fe<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>Mn<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>). These results showed that applications of micronutrients can affect the growth and yield of marigold, especially when the plant is grown in alkaline soils, and in this the 400 ppm concentrations of micronutrients were the best. Perhaps, the physiological basis of this effect is immobilization of micronutrients in this soil.

© 2013 AENSI Publisher All rights reserved.

**To Cite This Article:** Mehrab Yadegari., Foliar Application of Fe, Cu, Mn and B on growth, yield, and essential oil yield of marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). *J. Appl. Sci. & Agric.*, 8(5): 559-567, 2013

### INTRODUCTION

*Calendula officinalis* L., also known marigold is annual herb (Danielski *et al.*, 2007). It grows wild in the southern, eastern and central Europe (Wyk and Wink, 2004; Marisol and Rosemarie, 2003; Martin, 2005). It is usually multi stemmed with a strong tap root. The vegetative parts of the plant are mid green while the stems are angular and covered in fine hairs. The composite flowers could be yellow or orange (Gilman and Howe, 1999), which blossom in the spring-summer seasons. Marigold is cultivated for its flowers with receptacle or flowers without receptacle (Varban *et al.*, 2008) which are used as the medical raw material. The flower contains essential oils which are used for high blood-fat and treatment of inflammation intestine organs. Flavonoids, carotenoids and polyphenols are the active ingredient contents reported previously for *C. officinalis* flowers (Re *et al.*, 2009; Mrda *et al.*, 2007). The most essence this plant is formed at full blooming (0.97%) and the least of essence (0.13%) before flowering (Okoh *et al.*, 2007). Few studies examining Iron, Copper, Manganese and Boron fertility in Marigold have been conducted, but they are not specific to the medicinal use of this plant. Iron is one of the three micro essential nutrient elements required by plants. Fe is important in cytochrome structure. Iron occurs in concentrations of 7,000 to 500,000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in soils, where it is present mainly in the insoluble Fe (III) (Fageria *et al.*, 2002). The Fe (II) form is normally below the detection level in plants (Schönherr *et al.*, 2005). Copper is an essential microelement in higher plants as it occurs as part of the prosthetic groups of several enzymes. It was shown to be associated with proteins or nuclear contaminants (Nabila *et al.*, 2003). Manganese is involved in many biochemical functions, primarily acting as an activator of enzymes such as dehydrogenases and decarboxylases involved in respiration, amino acid and lignin synthesis, and hormone

**Corresponding Author:** Mehrab Yadegari, Department of agronomy and medicinal plants, Faculty of agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, Iran.  
Tel: +98 9133814318 - Fax: +98 3813361093; E-mail: mehrabyadegari@gmail.com

concentrations (Younis *et al.*, 2013). Boron made resistance of plasmalema and combination by other minerals and necessary for plants (Widom and Mihalkovic, 2008). In alkaline soils nutrient concentration may be not enough and therefore micro nutrient in this soil immobilized quickly and roots of plants can't absorb from soil and some of nutrient no transition to leaves, in this places spray application of micro nutrient solve this problem (Dadhich and Somani, 2007; Foth and Ellis, 1996; Torun *et al.*, 2001), and reduce soil fertilizer loss (Ahmad, 1998). Foliar fertilizer is particularly useful technique which can be designed to meet plants specific needs for one or more micro or macro nutrients especially trace minerals and enable to correct deficiencies, strengthen weak or damaged crops, speed growth and grow better and healthier plants. Micro and macro elements play an important role in promoting the growth and production of plants. Micro elements participate in most of the enzymatic reaction and they also play a role indirectly through the synthesis of several growth regulators (Khalifa *et al.*, 2009; Malakoti and Tehrani, 1999). Objectives of this study were to determine the effects of Iron, Copper, Manganese and Boron foliar applications on length of stem and root, number of flower and stem, root and shoot fresh and dry matter, flower fresh and dry weight, carotenoids, flavonoids, polyphenols, essence percentage of marigold.

#### Methodology:

Field trials were established in 2012 and 2013 at Shahrekord (50°56' E 32°18' N) South Western Iran on the parcel size 3000 m<sup>2</sup>. Used row spacing was 50 cm. Seeds of *C.officinalis* var Qazvin, obtained from the Pakan Bazar Company, Isfahan, Iran. Sowing was conducted manual, plant spacing in the each row was 5 cm, and two seeds were put in the soil. In the 3-5 leaves phase plants were thin out to final row distance (10 cm). During the vegetation, used practical measures were standard (3 earth up and pest protection). The soil (Typic calci xerocepts) physical and chemical properties are shown in Table 1. Experiments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with a factorial layout and three replications. All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Topsoil of the experimental plot area was kept moist throughout the growing season when necessary. After soil test, the required nutrients were added to soil. Plants were sown in pots in the farm condition on 22 May 2012 and 20 May 2013. At the end of the blooming stage, shoots of plants were harvested.

Four foliar fertilizers Librel Fe-Lo, Librel Cu, Librel Mn and Unibor were applied and all of them are mineral fertilizers. Librel Fe-Lo contains 13.2% chelated iron. Librel Cu has 14% copper in chelated form. Librel Mn inclusive of 13% Mn chelated with EDTA and Unibor contains 15% B (obtained from The Chemical Company of England and Germany). These fertilizers were sprayed at four concentrations (Fe<sub>1</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>4</sub> were 0, 200, 400 and 600 ppm of Fe, respectively and similar in other micronutrients). Soil analysis result for this region is presented in Table 1. In this research flowers harvested in 3 steps and before each step, in vegetation phase, treatments were done. At the end of the blooming stage, shoots of plants were harvested. Several parameters including dry and fresh weight of plant, number of shoots and the amount of essence production as well as the chemical component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, poly phenols) were determined. Essential oil composition was evaluated by capillary GC/MS (Shibamoto, 1987). The marigold petals and leaves were clean with distilled water, and then were dried 24 hours at 68°-72°C in oven. The product (approx 15 g) was extracted with 30 mL ethanol 95% by using Soxhlet method. The extract was filtered through a filter bed of cotton wool in a 100 mL volumetric flask. The filtrate was reintroduced in the recipient contained the plant residue and then was extracted again, for 10 minutes, with 30 mL with initial solvent; the process has been repeated for several times. Finally, the extract was concentrated by using a rotary evaporator; the final extract reached a 10 mL volume. This filtrate was cooled at -14°C, and was kept in the dark (Bunghetz and Ion, 2011). After transit plants to laboratory and separate the petals and leaves, roots of them are cleaned and dried in oven similar to leaves and then root dry matter measured. All data were subjected to ANOVA using the statistical computer package SAS and treatment means compared using Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level. All parameters were calculated in Growing Degree Day (GDD). GDD was measured by the following formula. In this, basal temperature is the temperature that growth is beginning, in calendula is 5.5°C (Joly *et al.*,

$$2013). \sum \left( \left( \frac{T_{\max} + T_{\min}}{2} \right) - T_b \right)$$

#### Results:

Results showed the significant differences between treatments. However in many of single treatments no significant differences, but in combinations and by Duncan's comparison, there were differences in most characters that result the Fe<sub>3</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub> treatment was the best (Tables 2-4). It seem that by synergist application of micro nutrient results to additive of effectiveness of measured characters but upper than 400 ppm, the action of fertilizer was toxicity. The components of Calendula oil were influenced by different treatments. The application treatment of Fe, Cu, Mn and B was significantly improved the growth characters including essence percentage, number of harvested flowers, length of leaf and root, flower dry and fresh weight, fresh and dry weight of leaves and roots, length of stem, leaf width, stem diameter showed significant response with increasing rates of foliar fertilizers (table 2). Meanwhile, the effect of combination of four micronutrients was

surpassed that of single of them. Thus, the foliar application of 400 ppm Fe, Cu, Mn and B caused the highest increments compared with other treatments. Length of stem in control plants was the least but the number of stem per plant in  $Fe_4Cu_4Mn_4B_4$  was the least (data no published). In character of number of leaves per plant, control plants and plants treated by treatments of 600 ppm of micronutrients were similar. The application of 400 ppm of Fe, Cu, Mn and B has increased flavonoids, polyphenols and carotenoids in the oil of Calendula. However, combination of  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$  treatment was more effective than other treatments. Most of amount of measured characters (for example flavonoids, width of leaves, length of stem) decreased by application upper than 400 ppm of Fe, Cu, Mn and B. Use of the upper range of optimum micro nutrient decreased the yield and essence production. Control treatment in many places made better yield components than 400 ppm concentration of Fe, Cu, Mn and B. In most treatments, combination of  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$ ,  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_2B_2$  and  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_1B_1$  made the maximum amount of characters, but  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$  was the best combination (tables 3, 4). In most times, control plants made alike of  $Fe_4Cu_4Mn_2B_2$ ,  $Fe_4Cu_4Mn_3B_3$  and  $Fe_4Cu_4Mn_4B_4$ . For toxicities of upper concentrations of Fe, Cu, Mn and B, the results obtained similar by control plants. It was clear from the presented data that the highest levels of the four foliar fertilizers were more effective than lower levels, and Librel Fe-Lo fertilizer was superior to other micronutrients. However, the highest essential oils were found with  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$  (0.9% and 1% in first and second year respectively). The most polyphenols made by  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$  in two years (55.3% and 56.2 % in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year). Concentration of micronutrients positively affected on characters measured and between the length of stem and root, number of flower and stem, root and shoot fresh and dry matter, flower fresh and dry weight, carotenoids, flavonoids, polyphenols, essence percentage of marigold were positive correlated (Table 2) and in best combinations of treatments (tables 3, 4) the  $Fe_3Cu_3Mn_3B_3$  was the best. Essence percentage positively correlated with the shoot dry and fresh matter, root dry and fresh matter, number of stems per plant, number and weight of flowers (Table 5, 6). Shoot dry and fresh matter positively correlated with root dry and fresh matter, length of stem and root of Calendula.

**Table 1:** Some physical and chemical properties of soil for experiment (0 -30) cm.

Year	Texture	E.C (ds.m <sup>-1</sup> )	N <sub>total</sub>	O.C	pH	K	P	B	Mn	Fe	Cu
			%			mg.kg <sup>-1</sup>					
1	Loam	8.1	0.11	0.2	8.2	770	45	0.97	11.2	8.1	1.3
2	Loam	7.8	0.11	0.2	8.1	745	44	1	10.1	7.1	1.1

**Table 2:** Complex analysis of variance of variation of essence percentage, flavenoids, carotenoids, polyphenols, number of harvested flowers in harvest of 1, 2, 3, length of leaf and root, flower dry and fresh weight in plants of *Calendula officinalis* L.

Source of variation	Degr ee of Free dom	Mean of Squares									
		Essence percentag e	Flavonoi d	Carotenoids	Poly phenols	Num ber of flowe rs (3)	Number of flowers (2)	Num ber of flowe rs (1)	Leaf length	Leaf width h	Flowe r dry weight
Year(Y)	1	0.002	0.0013	0.00043	0.00002	1.1	1.2	1.9	1.1	0.08	4.4
R/Y	4	0.0032	0.0035*	0.00032	0.00009	1.2	0.5	2.2	2.1	1.8	4.7
Copper (Cu)	3	0.00004 <sup>ns</sup>	0.013 <sup>**</sup>	0.0002*	0.00015*	1.8*	1.6*	4.5 <sup>**</sup>	2.2*	2.5*	2.2 <sup>ns</sup>
Manganese (Mn)	3	0.00003 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0033*	0.0003*	0.00001 <sup>ns</sup>	1.5 <sup>ns</sup>	1.1 <sup>ns</sup>	1.2 <sup>ns</sup>	1.03 <sup>ns</sup>	1.4 <sup>ns</sup>	1.2 <sup>ns</sup>
Iron (Fe)	3	0.00004 <sup>ns</sup>	0.017 <sup>**</sup>	0.000092 <sup>ns</sup>	0.00007 <sup>ns</sup>	1.6 <sup>ns</sup>	0.99 <sup>ns</sup>	1.3 <sup>ns</sup>	1.1 <sup>ns</sup>	1.2 <sup>ns</sup>	1.9 <sup>ns</sup>
Boron (B)	3	0.00005 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0013 <sup>ns</sup>	0.000001 <sup>ns</sup>	0.00008 <sup>ns</sup>	1.1 <sup>ns</sup>	1.4 <sup>ns</sup>	1.6 <sup>ns</sup>	1.3 <sup>ns</sup>	1.1 <sup>ns</sup>	1.3 <sup>ns</sup>
Cu×Mn	9	0.00008*	0.0001 <sup>ns</sup>	0.0002*	0.000083*	1.2 <sup>ns</sup>	1.7 <sup>ns</sup>	1.5 <sup>ns</sup>	1.2 <sup>ns</sup>	2.2 <sup>ns</sup>	3.7*
Cu×Fe	9	0.0067 <sup>**</sup>	0.0001 <sup>ns</sup>	0.00023*	0.000087*	1.3*	3.5 <sup>**</sup>	5.4 <sup>**</sup>	4.6 <sup>**</sup>	5.7 <sup>**</sup>	3.9*
Cu×B	9	0.00009*	0.0002*	0.00027*	0.0054 <sup>**</sup>	2.6 <sup>**</sup>	2.9 <sup>**</sup>	2.5 <sup>**</sup>	4.2 <sup>**</sup>	4.8 <sup>**</sup>	3.85*
Mn×Fe	9	0.012 <sup>**</sup>	0.024 <sup>**</sup>	0.00032 <sup>**</sup>	0.00009*	1.4*	1.8*	1.7*	2.02*	2.4*	5.8 <sup>**</sup>
Mn×B	9	0.000078*	0.00021*	0.00029*	0.000082*	4.7 <sup>**</sup>	4.2 <sup>**</sup>	4.5 <sup>**</sup>	5.5 <sup>**</sup>	6.5 <sup>**</sup>	7.6 <sup>**</sup>
Fe×B	9	0.000081*	0.003 <sup>**</sup>	0.0003*	0.000089*	3.3 <sup>**</sup>	3.9 <sup>**</sup>	5.2 <sup>**</sup>	4.8 <sup>**</sup>	5.9 <sup>**</sup>	3.6*
Cu×Mn×F e	27	0.00007*	0.002*	0.00009*	0.000075*	1.1*	1.5*	1.1*	1.4*	6.4 <sup>**</sup>	3.1*
Cu×Mn× B	27	0.069 <sup>**</sup>	0.003 <sup>**</sup>	0.00043 <sup>**</sup>	0.043 <sup>**</sup>	5.4 <sup>**</sup>	5.7 <sup>**</sup>	5.5 <sup>**</sup>	7.7 <sup>**</sup>	8.6 <sup>**</sup>	9.7 <sup>**</sup>
Cu×Fe×B	27	0.000075*	0.0025*	0.000095*	0.000077*	1.1*	1.5*	1.4*	1.9*	2.1*	9.1 <sup>**</sup>
Fe×B×Mn	27	0.056 <sup>**</sup>	0.045 <sup>**</sup>	0.0045 <sup>**</sup>	0.024 <sup>**</sup>	1.2*	1.7*	1.6*	1.1*	1.9*	3.2*
Cu×B×M n×F e	81	0.00006*	0.002*	0.00007*	0.000065*	0.9*	1.1*	1.6*	3.9 <sup>**</sup>	2.2*	2.4*
T(Cu,B,M n,Fe)×Y	255	0.000009*	0.0002*	0.000019*	0.0000093*	0.16*	0.17*	0.55*	0.23*	0.33*	0.45*
E	1020	0.00004	0.0009	0.000054	0.00004	0.67	0.53	0.6	0.5	0.6	1.1
CV		1.2	1.1	3.3	2.4	6.8	7.7	8.3	12.2	14.4	8.7

Tabeli 2: continue

Source variation	of Freedom	Mean of Squares								
		Flower fresh weight	Shoot Fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root Fresh weight	Root dry weight	Stem length (2)	Stem length (1)	Root length	Stem diameter
Year(Y)	1	6.7	5.2	7.6	1.2	2.2	100.1	101.4	91.1	0.05
R/Y	4	6.6	3.3	5.5	2.3	3.3	120.5	133.2	122.5	1.6
Copper (Cu)	3	2.4 <sup>ns</sup>	4.1 <sup>*</sup>	5.5 <sup>ns</sup>	1.5 <sup>ns</sup>	4.2 <sup>*</sup>	200.1 <sup>*</sup>	199.4 <sup>*</sup>	181.1 <sup>*</sup>	2.2 <sup>*</sup>
Manganese (Mn)	3	2.5 <sup>ns</sup>	3.9 <sup>*</sup>	4.1 <sup>*</sup>	1.4 <sup>ns</sup>	2.4 <sup>ns</sup>	102 <sup>ns</sup>	110 <sup>ns</sup>	108.3.1	1.2
Iron (Fe)	3	2.6 <sup>ns</sup>	2.5 <sup>ns</sup>	4.7 <sup>*</sup>	1.5 <sup>ns</sup>	2.7 <sup>ns</sup>	104 <sup>ns</sup>	99.3 <sup>ns</sup>	92.2	1.3
Boron (B)	3	2.4 <sup>ns</sup>	2.7 <sup>ns</sup>	5.4 <sup>ns</sup>	1.3 <sup>ns</sup>	2.5 <sup>ns</sup>	111 <sup>ns</sup>	121 <sup>ns</sup>	111.4	1.4
Cu×Mn	9	2.7 <sup>*</sup>	3.4 <sup>*</sup>	8.6 <sup>*</sup>	1.8 <sup>*</sup>	3.3 <sup>*</sup>	133.2 <sup>*</sup>	123.9 <sup>*</sup>	112.6 <sup>*</sup>	4.2
Cu×Fe	9	6.6 <sup>**</sup>	8.8 <sup>**</sup>	4.1 <sup>ns</sup>	3.4 <sup>**</sup>	3.7 <sup>*</sup>	121.1 <sup>*</sup>	124.8 <sup>*</sup>	122.2 <sup>*</sup>	7.7 <sup>**</sup>
Cu×B	9	2.8 <sup>*</sup>	8.6 <sup>**</sup>	4.2 <sup>ns</sup>	1.9 <sup>*</sup>	7.5 <sup>**</sup>	332.1 <sup>**</sup>	321.8 <sup>**</sup>	299.2 <sup>**</sup>	10.8 <sup>**</sup>
Mn×Fe	9	7.8 <sup>**</sup>	8.9 <sup>**</sup>	11.1 <sup>**</sup>	3.3 <sup>**</sup>	7.3 <sup>**</sup>	280.3 <sup>**</sup>	291.5 <sup>**</sup>	289.1 <sup>**</sup>	2.9 <sup>*</sup>
Mn×B	9	2.7 <sup>*</sup>	10.3 <sup>**</sup>	10.5	4.4 <sup>**</sup>	3.4 <sup>*</sup>	123.2 <sup>*</sup>	132.6 <sup>*</sup>	137.2 <sup>*</sup>	10.5 <sup>**</sup>
Fe×B	9	9.9 <sup>**</sup>	3.5 <sup>*</sup>	11.5 <sup>**</sup>	2.05 <sup>*</sup>	3.3 <sup>*</sup>	140.4 <sup>*</sup>	154.7 <sup>*</sup>	157.9 <sup>*</sup>	11.9 <sup>**</sup>
Cu×Mn×Fe	27	2.1 <sup>*</sup>	1.87 <sup>*</sup>	3.2 <sup>*</sup>	7.6 <sup>**</sup>	1.9 <sup>*</sup>	78.4 <sup>*</sup>	86.1 <sup>*</sup>	99.4 <sup>*</sup>	4.4
Cu×Mn×B	27	10.6 <sup>**</sup>	14.4 <sup>**</sup>	15.5 <sup>**</sup>	1.5 <sup>*</sup>	2.1 <sup>*</sup>	111.2 <sup>*</sup>	122.2 <sup>*</sup>	132.2 <sup>*</sup>	11.6 <sup>**</sup>
Cu×Fe×B	27	2.2 <sup>*</sup>	14.1 <sup>**</sup>	12.5 <sup>**</sup>	7.4 <sup>**</sup>	9.8 <sup>**</sup>	445.2 <sup>**</sup>	443.3 <sup>**</sup>	451.2 <sup>**</sup>	4.1 <sup>*</sup>
Fe×B×Mn	27	15.4 <sup>**</sup>	1.8 <sup>*</sup>	3.3 <sup>*</sup>	1.7 <sup>*</sup>	1.9 <sup>*</sup>	121.6 <sup>*</sup>	124.3 <sup>*</sup>	132.3 <sup>*</sup>	2.9 <sup>*</sup>
Cu×B×Mn×Fe	81	1.7 <sup>*</sup>	1.5 <sup>*</sup>	2.5 <sup>*</sup>	1.1 <sup>*</sup>	1.6 <sup>*</sup>	87.1 <sup>*</sup>	99.9 <sup>*</sup>	101.1 <sup>*</sup>	2.5 <sup>*</sup>
T(Cu,B,Mn,Fe)×Y	255	0.3 <sup>*</sup>	0.3 <sup>*</sup>	0.5 <sup>*</sup>	0.19 <sup>*</sup>	0.28 <sup>*</sup>	15.7 <sup>*</sup>	14.4 <sup>*</sup>	15.1 <sup>*</sup>	0.22 <sup>*</sup>
E	1020	1.34	1.2	2.1	0.85	1.2	44.1	42.4	39.2	0.6
CV		9.7	12.4	14.2	8.9	9.2	15.7	16.4	14.4	14.4

Table 3: Means of Characters measured in Calendula plants that are affected by micronutrients (400ppm) concentration (1st year).

Treatments	Shoot dry matter	Shoot fresh matter	Root dry matter	Root fresh matter	Number of flower	Weight of flower	Essence percentage	Poly phenols	Flavonoid
Copper (Cu)	6.6 c	12.2 d	7.1 cd	14.1 de	21.1 de	7 cd	0.24 d	28.4 d	14.1 c
Manganese(Mn)	5.6 c	12.5 cd	6.8 cd	13.1 de	22.1 d	7.5 cd	0.22 d	28.2 d	14.2 c
Iron (Fe)	7.3 bc	12.7 cd	6.6 cd	12.1 de	22.2 d	8 c	0.25 d	32.9 d	14.4 c
Boron (B)	6.1 c	12.2 d	6.6 cd	11.9 e	20.1 de	6.6 d	0.24 d	30.1 d	15.1 c
Cu×Mn	7.2 bc	13.2 cd	7.7 cd	14.6 d	22.4 cd	9.1 b	0.56 c	39.1 bc	15.5 c
Cu×Fe	7.4 bc	13.7 cd	8.1 cd	16.1 cd	23.1 cd	9.3 b	0.52 c	38.4 bc	16.2 c
Cu×B	7.8 bc	14.1 cd	9.1 c	17.9 c	24.4 cd	9.4 b	0.55 c	37 c	16.7 bc
Mn×Fe	8.1 bc	15.1 c	8.8 cd	17.1 cd	25.7 c	9.5 b	0.59 bc	38.8 bc	19.5 b
Mn×B	6.5 c	14.1 cd	8.5 cd	18.1 c	23.1 cd	9.5 b	0.54 c	38.1 c	18.5 b
Fe×B	7.3 bc	16.6 bc	8.3 cd	17.2 cd	25.5 c	9.6 ab	0.62 bc	40.2 bc	18 bc
Cu×Mn×Fe	8.9 ab	16.7 bc	10.1 bc	21.1 bc	27.2 b	10.2 a	0.71 b	44.1 b	20.1 b
Cu×Mn×B	9.2 ab	17.1 bc	10.2 bc	21.3 bc	27.4 b	10.4 a	0.7 b	42.2 b	22 b
Cu×Fe×B	9.6 ab	17.4 bc	11.1 b	23.1 b	27.6 b	10.4 a	0.8 ab	44 b	24 ab
Fe×B×Mn	9.1 ab	18.1 b	11.4 b	23.7 b	27.1 b	10.1 a	0.8 ab	43 b	23 b
Cu×B×Mn×Fe	10.8 a	22.1 a	15.2 a	32.6 a	33.1 a	10.8 a	0.9 a	55.3 a	30 a
Control	5.1 c	10.3 e	6.1 d	12.2 de	18.1 e	6.9 d	0.21 d	25.1 d	12.5 c

Table 4: Means of Characters measured in Calendula plants that are affected by micronutrients (400ppm) concentration (2nd year).

Treatments	Shoot dry matter	Shoot fresh matter	Root dry matter	Root fresh matter	Number of flower	Weight of flower	Essence percentage	Poly phenols	Flavonoid
Copper (Cu)	6.8 c	12.4 cd	7.3 d	15.2 cd	22.1 cd	8 d	0.3 de	28.6 d	15.2 d
Manganese(Mn)	5.8 c	12.6 cd	7 d	14.2 d	23.1 cd	8.1 d	0.31 de	28.4 d	15.3 d
Iron (Fe)	7.8 ab	12.8 cd	6.8 d	12.4 d	23.2 cd	9 c	0.34 de	33.1 cd	14.7 d
Boron (B)	6.7 c	12.4 cd	6.4 d	12.5 d	21.1 d	7 d	0.27 e	31.1 d	15.5 d
Cu×Mn	7.7 ab	13.6 cd	7.9 cd	15.6 cd	23.4 cd	10.1 b	0.57 c	39.5 bc	15.6 d
Cu×Fe	7.5 ab	13.9 cd	8.6 cd	16.5 cd	24.1 c	10.2 b	0.55 c	38.6 c	16.5 d
Cu×B	8.1 ab	12.1 d	8.8 c	18.3 c	24.2 c	10 b	0.56 c	37.5 c	16.9 cd
Mn×Fe	8.5 ab	16.1 c	9.1 c	18.4 c	26.4 bc	10.2 b	0.6 c	39.8 c	20.1 c
Mn×B	6.7 c	14.2 c	8.7 cd	18.7 c	25.1 bc	10.1 b	0.57 c	38.5 c	19.9 c
Fe×B	7.9 ab	16.7 bc	8.4 cd	18.5 c	26.5 bc	10.2 b	0.64 c	41.2 bc	18.8 c
Cu×Mn×Fe	9.3 b	16.8 bc	11.2 b	22.1 bc	28.2 b	11.7 a	0.74 b	45.1 b	21.5 bc
Cu×Mn×B	9.7 b	17.3 bc	11.6 b	22.4 bc	28.4 b	11.6 a	0.73 b	43.2 bc	22.3 bc
Cu×Fe×B	10.1 ab	17.6 bc	11.4 b	24.5 bc	28.6 b	11.1 ab	0.82 b	45.2 b	24.5 b
Fe×B×Mn	10.1 ab	18.2 b	11.8 b	25.5 b	28.1 b	11.7 a	0.9 ab	45.1 b	23.4 b
Cu×B×Mn×Fe	12.1 a	23.4 a	16.3 a	34.1 a	33.5 a	11.9 a	1 a	56.2 a	31.2 a
Control	6.1 c	10.5 d	6.7 d	14 d	18.3 d	7.1 d	0.22 e	26.1 d	14.2 d

**Table 5:** Results of correlations between characters in *Calendula* plants that are affected by Fe, Cu, Mn and B micronutrients in 1<sup>st</sup> year.

Characters	Flower fresh weight	Flower dry weight	Root length	Leaf length	Number of flowers (1)	Number of flowers (2)	Number of flowers (3)	Poly phenols	Carotenoids	Flavonoid
Flower fresh weight	1									
Flower dry weight	0.9**	1								
Root length	0.89**	0.91**	1							
Leaf length	0.85**	0.9**	0.34	1						
Number of flowers (1)	0.76**	0.87**	0.9**	0.69**	1					
Number of flowers (2)	0.73**	0.78**	0.9**	0.59**	0.9**	1				
Number of flowers (3)	0.95**	0.96**	0.9**	0.54**	0.77**	0.79**	1			
Poly phenols	0.94**	0.95**	0.44	0.42	0.8**	0.7**	0.68**	1		
Carotenoids	0.82**	0.94**	0.42	0.37	0.8**	0.68**	0.78**	0.5	1	
Flavonoid	0.92**	0.94**	0.37	0.36	0.6**	0.8**	0.76**	0.46	0.5	1
Essence percentage	0.87**	0.92**	0.6**	0.55**	0.65**	0.56**	0.6**	0.69**	0.69**	0.65**
Stem diameter	0.82**	0.87**	0.55**	0.34	0.9**	0.65**	0.69**	0.49	0.46	0.4
Leaf width	0.65**	0.7**	0.34	0.37	0.94**	0.89**	0.9**	0.5	0.39	0.46
Stem length (1)	0.56**	0.6**	0.7**	0.47	0.9**	0.9**	0.69**	0.6**	0.45	0.39
Stem length (2)	0.55**	0.6**	0.7**	0.47	0.87**	0.79**	0.8**	0.6**	0.46	0.45
Root Fresh weight	0.74**	0.8**	0.7**	0.46	0.67**	0.7**	0.6**	0.53*	0.46	0.46
Root dry weight	0.62**	0.74**	0.6**	0.55**	0.6**	0.7**	0.66**	0.6**	0.5	0.46
Leaf dry weight	0.7**	0.75**	0.55**	0.54**	0.6**	0.66**	0.66**	0.6**	0.46	0.5
Leaf Fresh weight	0.6**	0.72**	0.54**	0.55**	0.68**	0.76**	0.6**	0.46	0.36	0.46

**Table 5: Continue**

Characters	Essence percentage	Stem diameter	Leaf width	Stem length (1)	Stem length (2)	Root Fresh weight	Root dry weight	Leaf dry weight	Leaf Fresh weight
Flower fresh weight									
Flower dry weight									
Root length									
Leaf length									
Number of flowers (1)									
Number of flowers (2)									
Number of flowers (3)									
Poly phenols									
Carotenoids									
Flavonoid									
Essence percentage	1								
Stem diameter	0.59**	1							
Leaf width	0.6**	0.37	1						
Stem length (1)	0.74**	0.56**	0.5	1					
Stem length (2)	0.72**	0.5	0.24	0.45	1				
Root Fresh weight	0.7**	0.34	0.3	0.34	0.3	1			
Root dry weight	0.67**	0.37	0.4	0.33	0.33	0.46	1		

Leaf dry weight	0.6**	0.47	0.3	0.24	0.4	0.39	0.6**	1	
Leaf Fresh weight	0.6**	0.37	0.4	0.14	0.3	0.45	0.6**	0.7**	1

**Table 6:** Results of correlation between characters in Calendula plants that are affected by Fe, Cu, Mn and B micronutrients (2nd year).

Characters	Flower fresh weight	Flower dry weight	Root length	Leaf length	Number of flowers (1)	Number of flowers (2)	Number of flowers (3)	Poly phenols	Carotenoids
Flower fresh weight	1								
Flower dry weight	0.91**	1							
Root length	0.99**	0.87**	1						
Leaf length	0.8**	0.82**	0.34	1					
Number of flowers (1)	0.7**	0.8**	0.89**	0.89**	1				
Number of flowers (2)	0.7**	0.88**	0.69**	0.59**	0.79**	1			
Number of flowers (3)	0.9**	0.86**	0.79**	0.74**	0.82**	0.89**	1		
Poly phenols	0.92**	0.9**	0.34	0.42	0.68**	0.87**	0.88**	1	
Carotenoids	0.8**	0.9**	0.22	0.27	0.78**	0.88**	0.88**	0.5	1
Flavonoid	0.9**	0.9**	0.37	0.26	0.6**	0.78**	0.86**	0.55*	0.5
Essence percentage	0.8**	0.9**	0.76**	0.65**	0.65**	0.76**	0.96**	0.9**	0.59*
Stem diameter	0.77**	0.81**	0.65**	0.3	0.77**	0.75**	0.9**	0.55*	0.51*
Leaf width	0.62**	0.72**	0.24	0.3	0.9**	0.8**	0.9**	0.53*	0.52*
Stem length (1)	0.56**	0.62**	0.57**	0.41	0.79**	0.79**	0.9**	0.76**	0.4
Stem length (2)	0.55**	0.63**	0.87**	0.37	0.8**	0.87**	0.87**	0.76**	0.4
Root Fresh weight	0.7**	0.84**	0.7**	0.46	0.6**	0.87**	0.76**	0.59*	0.4
Root dry weight	0.6**	0.64**	0.76**	0.65**	0.86**	0.77**	0.86**	0.76**	0.55*
Leaf dry weight	0.71**	0.65**	0.65**	0.64**	0.76**	0.86**	0.86**	0.66**	0.26
Leaf Fresh weight	0.62**	0.7**	0.75**	0.65**	0.88**	0.78**	0.76**	0.46	0.17

\* and \*\*: significant at the 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

**Table 6: Continue**

Characters	Flavonoid	Essence percentage	Stem diameter	Leaf width	Stem length (1)	Stem length (2)	Root Fresh weight	Root dry weight	Leaf dry weight	Leaf Fresh weight
Flower fresh weight										
Flower dry weight										
Root length										
Leaf length										
Number of flowers (1)										
Number of flowers (2)										
Number of flowers (3)										
Poly phenols										
Carotenoids										
Flavonoid	1									
Essence percentage	0.6**	1								
Stem diameter	0.34	0.59*	1							
Leaf width	0.4	0.76**	0.3	1						
Stem length (1)	0.59*	0.7**	0.56*	0.4	1					
Stem length (2)	0.4	0.78**	0.55*	0.27	0.4	1				

Root Fresh weight		0.4	0.87**	0.3	0.32	0.3	0.36	1			
Root dry weight		0.4	0.86**	0.3	0.45	0.22	0.3	0.22	1		
Leaf dry weight		0.55*	0.76**	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.43	0.31	0.76**	1	
Leaf Fresh weight		0.46	0.86**	0.44	0.22	0.18	0.36	0.4	0.86**	0.81**	1

#### Discussion:

The same results in *Calendula* (Naguib *et al.*, 2005) and *Artemisia annua* L. (Glyn, 2002) were reported. Increasing of micronutrients can obtain more yield, essence and essential oils from *Calendula* (Naguib *et al.*, 2005). The beneficial application of micronutrients was reported by other researchers (Asad and Rafique, 2000; Hussain *et al.*, 2005; Ziaiean and Malakoti, 1998; Thalooth *et al.*, 2006). Results of this research showed that foliar application of micronutrient made the more essential oil in flower of *Calendula officinalis*. A similar effect of micronutrient supply on this parameter was also reported on *M.chamomilla* (Grejtovský *et al.*, 2006; Nasiri *et al.*, 2010), *S.farinacea* (Nahed and Balbaa, 2007), *Coriandrum sativum* (Said-Al Ahl and Omer, 2009), and *Ocimum basilicum* (Said-Al Ahl and Mahmoud, 2010). It seem, control plants that no have foliar application, were better than plants that have upper concentration of micronutrients. Combinations of micronutrients upper concentration than 400 ppm had more reducer effect than single of them. Consumption of these fertilizers in *Mentha* sp can increase essence glands and therefore essence can increase (Evans, 1996). Increasing of auxin, chlorophyll and RUBP concentration, nitrogen use efficiency by Fe consumption was previously reported (Sharafi *et al.*, 2002). In conclusion by use the optimum amount of micro nutrient, the yield characters will increase. These results reflect the role of applying the four foliar fertilizers in improving the total essential oils in *Calendula* species plants. It seems that Fe, Cu, Mn and B by the effect on absorption and transition of essential nutrients made the change of metabolism and growth and development and then increased upper phytochemicals (Malakoti and Davodi 2002; Ziaiean and Malakoti, 1998). The primitive effect of foliar fertilizers were in agreement with those obtained by El-Leithy (1998), Youssef (1998), Shirani (2011) and they reported that foliar spraying with micronutrients had stimulatory responses in growth characters. Similarly Khalil *et al* (2001), Refaat and Balbaa (2001), Aziz and El-Sherbeny (2004) showed that vegetative growth parameters and yield of different plants recorded significant increase with the application of applying various foliar fertilizer. Generally, the obtained results revealed that under Iranian conditions applying foliar fertilizer as Fe, Cu, Mn and B at 400 ppm resulted in highest improvement of growth character, yield and chemical constituents. Decreasing effect of Fe<sub>4</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>Mn<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub> made the equivalent amount of control plants.

#### Conclusion:

Plants treated with 400 ppm of Iron, copper, Manganese and Boron, had the more fresh and dry weight of flowers and essence percentage. Therefore, for maximum yield and quality of marigold, foliar application of micronutrients by 400 ppm concentration is recommended. It could be concluded from the results that Iron, copper, Manganese and Boron fertilization had significant effect on dry and fresh weight of flower, dry and fresh flower matter of flower and the amount of essence percentage as well as the chemical component of the essence (carotenoids, flavonoids, and polyphenols) of marigold. The highest number of flower per plant (33.5) and weight of dry flower per plant (11.9 g) and essence percentage (1%) was obtained from the plants were received 400 ppm of Iron, copper, Manganese and Boron. Essence percentage significantly affected by micronutrients fertilizer. The highest polyphenols (56.2) was measured from the flowers was received 400 ppm of Iron, copper, Manganese and Boron. The most suitable Fe-B-Mn-Cu supply for production of marigold to obtain the highest number and weight of flowers in Shahrekord, Iran is 400ppm foliar application of micronutrients. Effect of combined application of micronutrients fertilizers is suggested in compare with the separately use of them.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmad, N., 1998. Foliar fertilization in Pakistan: Status, scope and constraints. Proc. Symp."Foliar Fertilization: A Technique to Improve Production and Decrease Pollution". Publ. NRC, Cairo: 7-15.
- Arif, M., M.A, Chohan., S, Ali., R, Gul., S, Khan., 2006. Response of wheat to foliar application of nutrients. Journal Agriculture and Boilogy Science, 4: 30-34.
- Asad, A and R. Rafique, 2000. Effect of Boron, copper, iron, manganese and boron on the yield and yield components of wheat crop in tehsil Peshawar. Pakitan Journal of Biology Science, 3: 1815-1830.
- Aziz, E and S.E. El-Sherbeny, 2004. Effect of some macro and micro-nutrients on Growth and chemical constituents of *Sidiritis montana* L. as a new plant introduced into Egypt. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., AinShams Univ., Cairo, 12: 391-403.

Borghei, M., R. Arjmandi and R. Moogouei, 2011. Potential of *Calendula alata* for phytoremediation of stable cesium and lead from solutions. Environmental Monito Assessment, 181: 63-68.

Bunghez, I.R and R.M. Ion, 2011. Complex spectral characterization of active principles from marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). Journal of Science and Arts, 1(14): 59-64.

Chhibba, I.M., V.K. Nayyar and J.S. Kanwar, 2007. Influence of Mode and Source of Applied Iron on Fenugreek (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) in a Typic Ustochrept in Punjab, India. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 9(2): 254-256.

Dadhich, S.K and L.L., Somani, 2007. Effect of integrated nutrient management in Soybean-Wheat crop sequence on the yield, micronutrient uptake and post-harvest availability of micronutrients on Typic Ustochrepts soil. Acta Agronomica Hungarica, 55(2): 205-216.

Danielski, L., L.M.A.S. Campos and L.F.V. Bresciani, 2007. Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) oleoresin: Solubility in SC-CO<sub>2</sub> and composition profile. Chemistry Engenering Process, 46: 99-106.

Datta, J.K., A. Kundu, S. Dilwar and N.K. Mondal, 2011. Studies on the impact of micronutrient on germination, seedling growth and physiology of Bengal Gram under laboratory condition. Asian Journal of Crop Science, 3(2): 55-67.

Doming, A and I. Bertling, 2004. Manganese, Boron, and silicon studies of cucumber (*Cucumis sativa*) using a miniature hydroponics system. International Symposium on Growing Media and Hydroponics, ISHS, Acta Horticulture, p: 644.

El-Leithy, S.M., 1998. Effect of soil type and micronutrients on growth, yield and chemical constituents of *Nigella sativa* L. PhD. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.

Evans, W.C., 1996. Trease and Evans. Pharmacognosy. 14<sup>th</sup> Edition, Chapter 21, "Volatile oils and resins", Wiley, New York, 450 page.

Fageria, N.K., V.C. Baligar and R.B. Clark, 2002. Micronutrients in crop production. In: D.L. Sparks, ed. Advances in Agronomy, San Diego, Academic Press, pp: 185-268.

Foth, H.D and B.G. Ellis, 1996. Soil fertility. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Lewis Pub. New York.

Gilman, E.F and T. Howe, 1999. *Calendula officinalis*. Cooperative extention service, Institute of food and agricultural sciences, University of Florida. Fact Sheet FPS, pp: 87.

Glyn, M.F., 2002. Mineral nutrition, production and artemisin content in *Artemisia annua* L. Acta Horticulture, 426: 721-728.

Grejtovský, A., K. Markušová and A. Eliašová, 2006. The response of chamomile (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.) plants to soil Boron supply. Plant Soil Environment, 52(1): 1-7.

Havlin, J.L., J.D. Beaton, S.L. Tidale and W.L. Nelson, 1999. Soil Fertility and Ferertilizers: An Introduction to Nutrient Management, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, Prantice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Hussain, N., M.A. Khan and M.A. Javed, 2005. Effect of foliar application of plant micronutrient mixture on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Biology Science, 8(8): 1096-1099.

Joly, R., F. Forcellab, D. Peterson and J. Eklund, 2013. Planting depth for oilseed calendula. Industrial Crops and Products, 42: 133-136.

Khalifa, R., K.M. Omaima, M. Hafez and H. Abd-El-Khair, 2009. Influence of foliar spraying with boron and calcium on productivity, fruit quality, nutritional status and controlling of blossom end rot disease of Anna apple trees. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5(2): 237-249.

Khalil, M.S., M.S. Hussein and S.E El-Sherbeny, 2001. A comparative study on the effect of some foliar fertilizers on the growth, yield of *Sinapis alba* and *Nigella sativa* and *Sinnabis alba*. Egypt Journal Horticulture, 28: 371-385.

Malakoti, M.J and M.M. Tehrani, 1999. Effects of Micronutriens on the Yield and Quality of Agricultural Products. Tarbiat Modarres University Publications, Tehran, pp: 292.

Marisol, B and W. Rosemarie, 2003. Influence of sowing date and seed origin on the yield of capitula of *Calendula officinalis* L. during two growing seasons in Chillan. The bioline Eprints Archive.

Marschner, H., 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Academic Press, London.

Martin, F., 2005. A grower's manual for *Calendula officinalis* L. ADAS Bridget research center.

Mrda, J., B. Marinković and G. Jačimović, 2007. Effect of row spacing on calendula (*Calendula officinalis* L.) flowers production. International Symposium "Trends in European Agriculture Development", Scientific Papers Faculty of Agriculture, 39(1): 77-82.

Nabila, Y., M. Naguib, Y Khalil and E.N. Abou Zeid, 2003. Response of some radish cultivars to fertilization with Boron and various nitrogen sources. Bull. NRC, Egypt, 28(3): 315-336.

Naguib, N.Y., M.Y. Khalil and S.E. El Sherbeny, 2005. A Comparative Study on the Productivity and Chemical Constituents of Various Sources and Species of *Calendula* Plants as Affected by Two Foliar Fertilizers. Journal of Applied Sciences Research, 1(2): 176-189.

Nahed Abd El-Aziz, G and L.K. Balbaa, 2007. Influence of tyrosine and Boron on growth, flowering and chemical constituents of *Salvia farinacea* plants. Journal of Applied Science Research, 3(11): 1479-1489.



Nasiri, Y., S. Zehtab-Salmasi, S. Nasrullahzadeh and N. Najafi, 2010. Effects of foliar application of micronutrients (Fe and B) on flower yield and essential oil of chamomile (*Matricaria chamomilla* L.). Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 4(17): 1733-1737.

Nouri roudsari, O and B. Akbari, 2007. Study of calendula and gaillardia in two composts prepared from agroindustrial wastes. Pakistan Journal of Biology Sciences, 10(9): 1438-1444.

Okoh, O., A. Sadimenko and A.J Afolayan, 2007. The Effects of Age on the Yield and Composition of the Essential Oils of *Calendula officinalis*. Journal of Applied Sciences, 7(23): 3806-3810.

Rahimi, M and M. Yadegari, 2007. Effect of micronutrients on quality and quantity characteristics two Varieties of sunflower. International Conference on mathematical Biology, Malaysia.

Re, T.A., D. Mooney, E. Antignac, E. Dufour, I. Bark, V. Srinivasan and G. Nohynek, 2009. Application of the threshold of toxicological concern approach for the safety evaluation of calendula flower (*Calendula officinalis*) petals and extracts used in cosmetic and personal care products. Food Chemistry Toxicology, 47: 1246-1254.

Refaat, A.M and L.K. Balbaa, 2001. Yield and quality of lemongrass plants (*Cymbopogon flexuosus* stapf) in relation to foliar application of some vitamin and micro-elements. Egypt Journal Horticulture, 28: 41-57.

Said-Al Ahl, H.A.H and A. Mahmoud, 2010. Effect of Boron and / or iron foliar application on growth and essential oil of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) under salt stress. Ozean Journal Applied Science, 3(1): 97-111.

Said-Al Ahl, H.A.H and E.A. Omer, 2009. Effect of spraying with Boron and / or iron on growth and chemical composition of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) harvested at three stages of development. Journal of Medical Food Plants, 1(2): 30-46.

Sedghi, M., A. Pirzad and B. Amanpour, 2011. Light Absorption and Carotenoids Synthesis of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.) in Response to Phosphorous and Potassium Varying Levels. Academic pres. Not Sci Biol, 3(1): 46-50.

Schönherr, J., V. Fernandez and L. Schreiber, 2005. Rates of cuticular penetration of chelated FeIII: role of humidity, concentration, adjuvants, temperature, and type of chelate. Journal Agriculture Food Chemistry, 53: 4484-4492.

Sharafi, S., M. Tajbakhsh, M. Majidi and A. Pourmirza, 2002. Effect of iron and Boron fertilizer on yield and yield components of two forage corn cultivars in Urmia. Soil and Water, 12: 85-94.

Shibamoto, T., 1987. Capillary Gas Chromatography in Essential Oil Analysis. Hüthig, Heidelberg, p: 259.

Swiader, J.M., 2000. Micronutrient fertilizer recommendation for vegetable crop, Horticulture Facts.

Thalooth, A.T., M.M. Tawfik, H. Magda Mohamed, 2006. Comparative study on the effect of foliar application of Boron, potassium and magnesium on growth, yield and some chemical constituents of mungbean plants grown under water stress conditions. World Journal Agriculture Science, 2: 37-46.

Torun, A., I.G.A. Itekin, M. Kalayci, A. Yilmaz, S. Eker and I. Cakmak, 2001. Effects of Boron fertilization on grain yield and shoot concentrations of Boron, boron, and phosphorus of 25 wheat cultivars grown on a Boron-deficient and boron-toxic soil. Journal Plant Nutrition, 24(11): 1817-1829.

Tyler, V.E., 1993. The Honest Herbal, third ed. Haworth Press, New York, pp: 51-53.

Varban, D., M. Marcel, R. Varban and S. Muntean, 2008. The influence of the nutrition space upon the production at *Calendula officinalis* L. specie, in the condition of Cluj-Napoca. Scientific Papers Faculty of Agriculture, 40(1): 235-238.

Widom, M and M. Mihalkovic, 2008. Symmetry-broken crystal structure of elemental boron at low temperatur. Phys Rev B, 77(6): 24-34.

Wyk, B.E.V and M. Wink, 2004. Medicinal Plants of the World, Briza Publication, Pretoria.

Younis, A., A. Riaz, M. Sajid, N. Mushtaq, U. Tariq and M. Nadeem, 2013. Foliar application of macro- and micronutrients on the yield and quality of *Rosa hybrida* cvs. Cardinal and Whisky Mac. African Journal of Biotechnology, 12(7): 702-708.

Youssef, A.A., 1998. Influence of brassinosteroid and Boron on growth, yield and seed composition of *Nigella sativa*. Journal Agriculture Science, 23: 45-51.

Ziaeiian, A and M.J. Malakoti, 1998. Effect of micronutrient application and application time on increasing yield. Soil and Water, 2(1): 56-62.