

The Effect of Ivermectin Pour-on Administration Against Natural Dictyocaulus Viviparous Infestations and Prevalence Rate of That in Cattle

¹Garedaghi Yagoob, ²khayatnouri Mirhadi, ³Safarmashaei Saeid

¹Department of Pathobiology, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran.

²Department of Pharmacology, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran.

³Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Young Researchers club.

Garedaghi Yagoob, khayatnouri Mirhadi, Safarmashaei Saeid: The Effect of Ivermectin Pour-on Administration Against Natural Dictyocaulus Viviparous Infestations and Prevalence Rate of That in Cattle

ABSTRACT

These days one of the real problems that cause the prejudice economic in animal farms yearly is parasitic diseases. For that reason to prevent these problems the use of antiparasitic drugs is necessary. Ivermectin is a broad spectrum antiparasitic agent and different dosage forms such as injection, oral and pour-on used. The aims of this study were evaluation of the efficacy of ivermectin pour-on administration against natural dictyocaulus viviparous nematode infections in cattle and also determine the prevalence rate of this parasite in Tabriz area. In this study in 120 heads of male and female hybrid cattle were carried out LPG (larves per gram of feces) feces test. Willis method was applied for feces test and Stoll method was used for counting nematode larvae (LPG). After confirm worm contamination and counting dictyocaulus viviparous nematode larvae in feces, in infected animals, ivermectin (0.5 mg/kg) pour-on was administrated. Feces test was repeated in 1, 7, 21 and 28 day after treatment. Results showed that total prevalence of dictyocaulus viviparous nematode infections was 5% cattle's of Tabriz area. The effects of ivermectin pour-on on dictyocaulus viviparous nematode were 42.18, 54.68, 90.62 and 99.21% in 1, 7, 21 and 28 day respectively. In conclusion, because the effect of this drug against dictyocaulus viviparous nematode was >99% ($p < 0.05$), so in cattle can use this drug in antiparasitic program. Of course the effect of this drug on other nematodes and parasitic infections are needed to be more investigated.

Key words: Ivermectin, Pour-on Administration, Dictyocaulus Viviparous, Cattle.

Introduction

Infections with gastrointestinal (GI) nematodes are very common on cattle farms in Iran and all over the world. Parasitic infections of cattle's are major factors responsible for economic losses through reduction in productivity and increased mortality. Parasites cause the animals to be unthrifty which may include the loss of weight, low birth weights and difficulty in kidding. Due to parasitism, the animals become susceptible to other health

problems which can lead to death. However, there is substantial evidence that they can also exert important negative effects on the productivity of adult dairy cows [21]. Many researchers for prevalence rate of gastrointestinal parasites in all the world have been reported but research for effect of anti parasitic drug by different administration ways is low and in Iran the study on present subject has not been done [10,14,22]. Ivermectin is a member of the macrocyclic lactone class of endectocides, commonly referred to as avermectins.

Corresponding Author

Garedaghi Yagoob, Department of Pathobiology, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran.

Tel: 0098 914 311 0499

E-mail: Y_garedaghi@yahoo.com or Y_garedaghi@iaut.ac.ir

It is labeled for the treatment of internal and external parasites in dogs, cats, horses, pigs, sheep, and cattle. Subcutaneous (SC) and topical (TOP) formulations are available for use in nonlactating dairy cattle, at a dose of 0.2 and 0.5 mg/kg bodyweight (BW), respectively. Ivermectin is a highly potent broad-spectrum anthelmintic that is widely used in cattle. It is available in injectable, oral and topical formulations for use in cattle [27]. The most important GI nematode responsible for considerable production losses in cattle is *Dictyocoulus viviparus* [1]. This parasite is ingested as larvae that, after penetration of the intestinal wall, migrate via the lymph nodes and the blood circulation to the lungs, where they mature into adult worms. Eggs produced by these adults are coughed up, swallowed, and excreted in the feces as first-stage larvae. In the lungs, pathology develops due to the influx and activation of eosinophil's and mast cells that cause restriction of the airways and a collapse of the alveoli, resulting in edema and emphysema [12]. By attention to this subject which in Iran study on pour on administration of ivermectin on *Dictyocoulus viviparus* has not been done and the facile use of this drug the objective of this paper were to determine the Evaluation of the effect of ivermectin pour-on administration against natural *Dictyocoulus viviparus* nematode infections and prevalence rate of them in cattle. This study is the first report in Iran.

Material and method

In present study a total number of 120 dubious cattle to *Dictyocoulus viviparus* infestation, from 20 different farms in Tabriz area for fecal examination and LPG were collected. After 3 times fecal examination, a total number of 120 cattle infected to *Dictyocoulus viviparus* were distinguished and after this step, infected cattle's isolated and then pour on Ivermectin at a dose of 0/5 mg/kg were administrated.

Table 1: Average number of enumeration the larvae in fecal samples of different days of before and after the treatment with pour on Ivermectin.

Before treatment	1 day after treatment	7 days after treatment	21 days after treatment	28 days after treatment
128	74	58	12	1

Table 2: Rate of Ivermectin pour on administration affect in different days after treatment for control of *Dictyocoulus viviparus*.

1 day after treatment	7 days after treatment	21 days after treatment	28 days after treatment
42.18	54.68	90.62	99.21

Conclusion:

According to results of crosscal-walis test can say which pour on administration of Ivermectin cases decrease the natural infestation of cattle to *Dictyocoulus viviparus*. The efficacyrate of Ivermectin on this parasite is upper than 99%. In these days Ivermectin have different drug shapes.

Also pour on form of Ivermectin by melting the Ivermectin powder in solution of Isopropyl alcohol (weight-weight) with 0/5 % concentration were made [19]. In this study for differential diagnostic of *Dictyocoulus viviparus* larvae from other larvae of authentic book were used [11,13,23,26] also for exact distinguish from fecal culture and shape of L₃ (there stage form of larvae) were used [18]. After and before the beginning of cattle treatment, in 3 time fecal samples taken for fecal examination and after examination, results of larvae enumeration in one designed form were written. Fecal examination in days of 1, 7, 21 and 28 after treatment were repeated. In this study for fecal examination from Willis method and for larvae examination (LPG) of Stool method were used [2,16]. In end by use of following Formol for determine the effective rate of pour on administration of Ivermectin were used:

$$\% \text{ of drug efficacy} = P-R/P \times 100$$

R = Average of parasite larvae number in gr of fecal sample after treatment

P = Average of parasite larvae number in gr of fecal sample before treatment

Data were analyzed by non-parametric crosscal-walis and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results:

The results of present study indicated which from 120 cattle's, 6head of them infected to *Dictyocoulus viviparus* were distinguished and prevalence rate of this parasite 5% were calculated. Average number of enumerated larvae was 128. The average number of enumerated larvae in fecal samples of different days after treatment with pour on Ivermectin in table 1 has been shown. The rate of Ivermectin pour on administration affect in different days after treatment by formol was calculated and in days of 1,7,14 and 21 was respectively 42.18, 54.68, 90.62 and 99.21. The ivermectin pour on administration reduced the natural parasite infestation.

Half timeof intra venal administration of Ivermectin with dose of 300µg/Kg in cattle is 2.8day, but in subcutaneous administration with dose of 200µg/Kg is 8day and also has been shown that the effect of sustained-releaseadministration of this drug in cattle is very more than to oral and subcutaneous administration of that [19].

The importance base in use of antiparasitic drug is the increase of contact time of drugs with parasites is very effective in comparison with increase the dose of these drugs [11,13,19,23,26]. This subject has been demonstrated that Ivermectin with dose of 1mg/Kg (oral or injection) have effective antiparasitic role in veterinary. The dose of this drug in cattle for oral and subcutaneous administration is 0.2mg/Kg and for pour on administration is 0.5mg/Kg; these doses of Ivermectin have importance antihelmintic effect between 97-100% on adult form and L₄ (forth stage of larvae) of *Haemonchus*, *Ostertagia*, *Cooperia*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Strongyloides*, *Bonostomum*, *Nematodirus*, *Trichuris*, *Oesophagostomum*, *Dictyocaulus* and *Chabertia ovina*, also with these doses have effective role in reduce of some arthropods [11,13,19,23,26]. According to findings of researchers tablet form of Ivermectin with dose of 0.4mg/Kg causes reduce in eggs in feces during 10 week after treatment but have not protective role for reinfection of cattle with one dose of drug administration. Subcutaneous administration of Ivermectin with dose of 0.2mg/Kg and pour on of that with 0.5mg/Kg dose, have high effective role for control of parasites, also have importance protective role for reinfection in cattle's. Also according to findings of researchers one administration of Ivermectin with dose of 0.5mg/Kg have high effect between 95-100% on *Haemonchus*, *Oesophagostomum* and *Bunostomum* [7,9,19] and when Ivermectin with present dose used, in this time have importance effect on *Boophilus*, *Damalina* and others arthropods [2,3,5,14,17,19]. Doramectin administration (with Ivermectin are in one family) after 14 and 21 days respectively 99.2 and 90.7% on *Cooperia*, after 21 and 28 day respectively 99.9 and 93.7% on *Ostertagia*, after 21 and 28 days respectively 100 and 99.9% on *Dictyocaulus* have importance effect. In one study on natural infestation of cattle's, Doramectin causes deleting this infestation to *Ostertagia* and *Cooperia* respectively in days of 19 and 22. By attention to this subject that effect of drug on most parasites is after days of 14, therefore permanent of drug on surface of body have very importance in effective rate of drugs [19]. In present study effective rate in day of 28 after treatment by pour on Ivermectin administration on *Dictyocaulus viviparus* 99.22% determined which with calculated effective rate of Taylor and *et al.* [25] is confirm [23,24]. Williams and *et al.* in one study on natural infected cattle's to *Ostertagia*, *Cooperia*, *Oesophagostomum*, *Trichostrongylus*, *Haemonchus* and *Bunostomum* with comparison the effect of injection Doramectin and Ivermectin with pour on Ivermectin shows which these 3 drugs causes decrease the number of eggs and larvae of these parasites in feces and from effect comparison aspect these drugs have not considered different and they

reported which pore on administration of these is similar to injection administration [33]. In study by Eysker and *et al.* indicated which this drug have protective effect on cattle and causes reduce the specific antigen of *Cooperia oncophora* [8]. In other study by Williams and *et al.* on comparison the effect of pour on administration of Ivermectin, Doramectin, Eprinomectin and Moxidectin shown that maximum and minimum effect was with Eprinomectin and Ivermectin observed respectively [32].

In one study on comparison the effect of suspension Albendazole, Oxfendazole, and Fenbendazole with pour on Ivermectin on gastrointestinal and respiratory nematods indicated which maximum effect was with pour on administration of Ivermectin with 99.2, 98.3 and 98.1% effect on *Ostertagia*, *Cooperia* and *Dictyocaulus* respectively and minimum rate was with Fenbendazole (63.6, 17.7 and 39.7) and Oxfendazole (78.5, 42.1 and 32%) [31].

Gayraud and *et al* [10] says that can use of Ivermectin and Doramectin for control of gastrointestinal parasites in cattle [10]. Whang and *et al* has been reported which pour on and injection administration of Moxidectin have positive effect more than 90% on *Ostertagia* and *Cooperia* and significant different between these two type of administration were not reported [28]. In two studies by Williams and *et al* indicated that Moxidectin have very importance role for control of parasitic disease [29,30]. Skogerboe and Rehbein and *et al* reported that pour on administration of Ivermectin during rain too have antiparasitic effect upper 90% and rain have not specific effect on reduction the role of Ivermectin [22,18] of course other studies on this subject has been done and indicated that during raining pour on Ivermectin is active against parasites [22,24,25]. In fact pour on administration of Ivermectin is very easy for farmers and so far for this way of Ivermectin administration any specific side effect has not been reported [19]. In end can say Ivermectin is very effective drug for control of gastrointestinal parasites in ruminant and use of that is very easy and have not need to specific tools. Of course effect of pour on administration of Ivermectin on other helminths and arthropods need to more studies.

Reference

1. Armour, J., 1989. The influence of host immunity on the epidemiology of trichostrongyle infections in cattle. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 32: 5-19.
2. Aguirre, D.H., A.B. Gaido, M.M. Cafrune, M.E. Castelli, A.J. Mangold, A.A. Guglielmone, 2005. Eprinomectin pour-on for control of *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini) ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) on cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 127: 157-163.

3. Barth, D., J.M. Preston, 1988. Efficacy of topically administered ivermectin against chorioptic and sarcoptic mange of cattle. *Vet Rec*, 123: 101-104.
4. Borges, F.A., H.C. Silva, C. Buzzulini, V.E. Soares, E. Santos, G.P. Oliveira, A.J. Costa, 2008. Endectocide activity of a new long-action formulation containing 2.25% ivermectin + 1.25% abamectin in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 155(3-4): 299-307.
5. Chaudhri, S.S., S.K. Gupta, D.P. Banerjee, P.K. Bhatnagar, N.S. Ruprah, 2003. *Manual of veterinary helminthology*. First edition, IBDC (International Book Distributing Company), pp: 87-88.
6. Colwell, D.D., J.A. Jacobsen, 2002. Persistence activity of topical ivermectin against artificial infestation with hypodermal lineatum (Diptera: Ostridae). *Vet Parasitol*, 105: 247-256.
7. Egerton, J., C. Eary, D. Suhayda, 1981. The anthelmintic efficacy of ivermectin in experimental infected cattle. *Vet parasitol*, 8: 59-70.
8. Eysker, M., J.H. Boersema, J.B. Githiori, F.N.J. Kooyman, 1998. Evaluation of the effect of ivermectin administered topically at zero and six weeks after turnout on gastrointestinal nematode infection in first-season grazing cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 78(4): 277-286.
9. Gary, R., R.R. Kumar, 2007. Duration of anthelmintic effect of three formulations of Ivermectin (oral, injectable and pour-on) against multiple anthelmintic-resistant haemonchuscontortus in sheep. *Vet Res Commun*, S0165-7380.
10. Gayraud, V., M. Alvinerie, P.L. Toutain, 1999. Comparison of pharmacokinetic profiles of doramectin and ivermectin pour-on formulations in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 81(1): 47-55.
11. Georgi, J.R., M.E. Georgi, 1990. *Parasitology for veterinarians*. Fifth edition, WB Saunders Company, pp: 3-5(156) 243-265: 267-309.
12. Jarrett, W.F., F.W. Jennings, W.I. McIntyre and W. Mulligan., 1957. The natural history of parasitic bronchitis with notes on prophylaxis and treatment. *Vet. Rec.*, 69:1329-1339.(16).
13. Kassai, T., 1999. *Veterinary helminthology*. First edition, BH (Butterworth Heinemann), pp: 13-19: 85-87: 147-153: 181-193: 205-221.
14. Lonneux, J.F., T.Q. Nguyen, B.J. Losson, 1997. Efficacy of pour-on and injectable formulations of moxidectin and ivermectin in cattle naturally infected with Psoroptesovis: parasitological, clinical and serological data. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 69(3-4): 319-330.
15. Mandal, S.C., 2006. *Veterinary parasitology at a glance*. First edition, IBDC (International Book Distributing Company), 1-61: 195-198.
16. Marley, S.E., B.J. Knapp, M.C. Rognlie, J.R. Thompson, T.M. Stoppa, 1995. Efficacy of ivermectin pour-on against Oestertagia oestertagi infection and residues in the American Bison, Bison Bison. *J Wildlife Dis*, 31(1): 62-65.
17. Marley, S.E., R.D. Hall, R.M. Corwin, 1993. Ivermectin cattle pour-on: duration of a single late spring treatment against horn flies, Haematobia irritans (L.) (Diptera: Muscidae) in Missouri, USA. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 51(1-2): 167-172.
18. Rehbein, S., R.A. Barrick, A.F. Batty, M.D. Drag, P.F. Rolfe, J.L. Cox, 1999. Evaluation of the effect of simulated rainfall on the efficacy of Ivomec Pour-on against Cooperia spp. infection in cattle. *Parasitol Res*, 85: 783-786.
19. Reinemeyer, C.R., C.H. Courtney, 2001. Antinematodal drugs, Section 11, Chemotherapy of parasitic diseases, In: Adams, HR, *Veterinary pharmacology and therapeutics*, 8th edition. Iowa State University Press/Ames, pp: 963- 971.
20. Rolfe, P.F., K.L. Dawson, 1997. Efficacy of topical ivermectin following exposure of treated cattle to rains. *Vet Rec*, 141: 269-270.
21. Sanchez, J., I. Dohoo, J. Carrier, L. DesCoteaux, 2004a. A meta-analysis of the milk-production response after anthelmintic treatment in naturally infected adult dairy cows. *Prev. Vet. Med.*, 63: 237-256.
22. Skogerboe, T.L., V.C. Cracknell, D.J. Walstrom, L. Ritzhaupt, V.K. Karle, 1999. The effect of simulated rainfall on the efficacy of doramectin pour-on against nematode parasites of cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 86(4): 229-234.
23. Soulsby, E.J.L., 1986. *Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals*. seventh edition, Bailliere Tindall, London, pp: 1-4(247-252): 262-268: 761-777.
24. Taylor, S.M., T.R. Mallon, W.P. Green, 1990. Comparison of the efficacy of dermal formulations of ivermectin and levamisole for the treatment and prevention of Dictyocaulus viviparus infection in cattle. *Vet Rec*, 126: 357-359.
25. Taylor, S.M., P.F. McMullin, 1995. Effects of treatment with topical ivermectin three and eight weeks after turnout on nematode control and the performance of second-season beef suckler cattle. *Vet Rec*, 136: 558-561.
26. Urquhart, G.M., J. Armour, J.L. Duncan, A.M. Dunn, F.W. Jennings, 2003. *Veterinary parasitology*, Second edition, Book Power, Blackwell Science, pp: 35-39: 268-269: 276-279.
27. Vermunt, J.J., D.M. West and W.E. Pomroy, 1995. Multiple resistance to ivermectin and oxfendazole in Cooperia species of cattle in New Zealand. *The Veterinary Record*, 137: 43-45.

28. Whang, E.M., C. Bauer, D. Kollmann, H.J. Bürger, 1994. Efficacy of two formulations ('injectable' and 'pour on') of moxidectin against gastrointestinal nematode infections in grazing cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 51(3-4): 271-281.
29. Williams, J.C., S.D. Broussard, G.T. Wang, 1996. Efficacy of moxidectin pour-on against gastrointestinal nematodes and *Dictyocaulus viviparus* in cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 64(4): 277-283.
30. Williams, J.C., A. DeRosa, 2003. Dose confirmation of moxidectin 0.5% pour-on against adults and fourth-stage larvae of various *Cooperia* spp. and *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* in Louisiana. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 114(4): 295-303.
31. Williams, J.C., A. DeRosa, Y. Nakamura, A.F. Loyacano, 1997. Comparative efficacy of ivermectin pour-on, albendazole, oxfendazole and fenbendazole against *Ostertagia ostertagi* inhibited larvae, other gastrointestinal nematodes and lungworm of cattle. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 73(1-2): 73-82.
32. Williams, J.C., A.F. Loyacano, A. DeRosa, J. Gurie, B.C. Clymer, F. Guerino, 1999. A comparison of persistent anthelmintic efficacy of topical formulations of doramectin, ivermectin, eprinomectin and moxidectin against naturally acquired nematode infections of beef calves. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 85(4): 277-288.
33. Williams, J.C., A.F. Loyacano, A. DeRosa, J. Gurie, D.F. Coombs, T.L. Skogerboe, 1997. A comparison of the efficacy of two treatments of doramectin injectable, ivermectin injectable and ivermectin pour-on against naturally acquired gastrointestinal nematode infections of cattle during a winter-spring grazing season. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 72(1): 69-77.